arXiv:math/0506570v2 [math.QA] 30 Jun 2005

GENERALIZED DIAGONAL CROSSED PRODUCTS AND SMASH PRODUCTS FOR QUASI-HOPF ALGEBRAS. APPLICATIONS

DANIEL BULACU, FLORIN PANAITE, AND FREDDY VAN OYSTAEYEN

Abstract. In this paper we introduce generalizations of diagonal crossed products, two-sided crossed products and two-sided smash products, for a quasi-Hopf algebra H. The results we obtain may then be applied to H^*-Hopf bimodules and generalized Yetter-Drinfeld modules. The generality of our situation entails that the "generating matrix" formalism cannot be used, forcing us to use a different approach. This pays off because as an application we obtain an easy conceptual proof of an important but very technical result of Hausser and Nill concerning iterated two-sided crossed products.

1. Introduction

Quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Hopf algebras were introduced by Drinfeld in [6], in connection with the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, but also as very natural (especially from the tensor-categorical point of view) generalizations of bialgebras and Hopf algebras. Let k be a field, H an associative algebra and $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ and $\varepsilon : H \to k$ two algebra morphisms. Roughly speaking, H is a quasi-bialgebra if the category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ of left H-modules, equipped with the tensor product of vector spaces endowed with the diagonal H-module structure given via Δ, and with unit object k viewed as a left H-module via ε, is a monoidal category (if we impose the associativity constraints to be the trivial ones, we obtain the usual concept of bialgebra). The comultiplication Δ is not coassociative but is quasi-coassociative in the sense that Δ is coassociative up to conjugation by an invertible element $\Phi \in H \otimes H \otimes H$. Note that the definition of a quasi-bialgebra or quasi-Hopf algebra is *not* self-dual.

Actions and coactions on algebras are an important part of the theory of Hopf algebras, and they have been extended to quasi-Hopf algebras: module algebras have been studied in [2], while (bi) comodule algebras were introduced in [7].

Over a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H, speaking about module algebras or comodule algebras is the same thing, since a left (right) H-module algebra is the same as a right (left) H^*-comodule algebra. This does no longer hold over quasi-Hopf algebras, where a comodule algebra is an associative algebra but a module

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-Hopf algebra, generalized diagonal crossed product, generalized two-sided smash product.

Research partially supported by the EC programme LIEGRITS, RTN 2003, 505078, and by the bilateral projects "Hopf Algebras in Algebra, Topology, Geometry and Physics" and "New techniques in Hopf algebras and graded ring theory" of the Flemish and Romanian Ministries of Research. The first two authors have been also partially supported by the programme CERES of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, contract no. 4-147/2004.

algebra is associative only in a tensor category, so in general being nonassociative as an algebra (for instance, the nonassociative algebra of octonions is such a module algebra over a certain quasi-Hopf algebra, cf. [1]). This fact leads to the following situation: a concept, construction, result etc. from the theory of Hopf algebras might admit *more* different generalizations when passing to quasi-Hopf algebras.

Such a situation occurs in this paper. To explain it, we recall some facts from [7]. If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, Hausser and Nill introduced the so-called *diagonal crossed products* $H^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, $H^* \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A} \bowtie H^*$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft H^*$, which are all (isomorphic) associative algebras and have the property that for $\mathbb{A} = H$ they are realizations of the quantum double of H, which has been introduced before by Majid in [10] in the form of an implicit Tannaka-Krein reconstruction procedure. Also, if $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are a right and respectively a left H-comodule algebra, Hausser and Nill introduced an associative algebra structure on $\mathfrak{A}\otimes H^*\otimes\mathfrak{B}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ and called the *two-sided crossed product*, which has the property that with respect to the natural bicomodule algebra structure on $\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B}$ one has an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B} \simeq (\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B}) \bowtie H^*$. Their motivation for introducing these constructions was the need to extend to the quasi-Hopf setting some models of Hopf spin chains and lattice current algebras from algebraic quantum field theory (see the introduction of [7] for details). For this purpose, one of the key results in [7] was that the two-sided crossed products can be iterated, providing thus a local net of associative algebras, with quantum double cosymmetry.

Now, if H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, the construction $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ may be described equivalently with module algebras instead of comodule algebras, and it becomes a two-sided smash product $A\#H\#B$ (where A and B are a left, respectively a right H-module algebra), with multiplication given by

$$(a\#h\#b)(a'\#h'\#b') = a(h_1 \cdot a')\#h_2h'_1\#(b \cdot h'_2)b',$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $h, h' \in H$ and $b, b' \in B$.

It is *this* construction that we first wanted to generalize to quasi-Hopf algebras (where it will be *different* from the two-sided crossed product of Hausser and Nill). The need for such a construction arose as follows. It was proved in [12] that, for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H, the category ${}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{H^*}$ of H^*-Hopf bimodules is isomorphic to the category of left modules over a two-sided smash product $H^*\#(H \otimes H^{op})\#H^{*op}$. We wanted a similar result for the category ${}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{H^*}$ for H a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, but observed that we could not use the two-sided crossed product of Hausser and Nill, we needed a generalization of the two-sided crossed product from Hopf algebras in the other direction (the one based on module algebras and *not* on comodule algebras). After constructing this two-sided smash product $A\#H\#B$, we wanted to express it as some sort of diagonal crossed product $(A \otimes B) \bowtie H$, and we were led naturally to consider a *generalized* diagonal crossed product $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra.

We describe now more formally the structure of this paper (H will be a fixed quasi-Hopf algebra or sometimes only a quasi-bialgebra). In Section 3 we introduce the left and right generalized diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ (which will turn out to be isomorphic), where $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ is an associative algebra endowed with a two-sided coaction of H on it, and we prove their associativity. If $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, one can construct out of it two two-sided coactions δ_l and δ_r, hence we have four generalized diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$.

In Section 4 we construct, starting with a bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}$, two left $H \otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebra structures on $\mathbb{A}$, denoted by $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$. Regarding $\mathcal{A}$ as a left $H \otimes H^{op}$-module algebra, we identify $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ with the generalized smash products (in the sense of [4]) $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}_2$. We prove that $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$ are twist equivalent as left $H \otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebras, and we obtain that $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A} \simeq \mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ as algebras.

In Section 5 we consider a left H-module algebra A and a right H-module algebra B. We first describe a slight generalization of the two-sided crossed product $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$, replacing H^* by $\mathcal{A}$, and call this algebra the generalized two-sided crossed product. Then we construct the *two-sided generalized smash product* $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$, which for $\mathbb{A} = H$ is exactly the two-sided smash product $A \# H \# B$ that we needed.

In Section 6 we prove the algebra isomorphisms $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{B} \simeq \mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ and $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B \simeq (A \otimes B) \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, obtaining in particular that the generalized diagonal crossed product $(A \otimes B) \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ is isomorphic with both $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ and $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes (A \otimes B) \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$.

In Section 7 we study the invariance under twisting of our constructions.

Starting with Section 8 we move to applications. We prove first that both two-sided products (the generalized two-sided crossed product and the two-sided generalized smash product) may be written as some iterated products. Together with the fact that a generalized smash product $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}$ becomes a right H-comodule algebra (and similarly for $\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$), this allows us to obtain a very easy, conceptual and constructive proof of the theorem of Hausser and Nill concerning iterated two-sided crossed products. As a by-product of our approach, we obtain also that the iterated products arising in this theorem are actually isomorphic to a two-sided generalized smash product.

In Section 9 we prove what was our original motivation for this paper, namely that the category ${}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{H^*}$ of H^*-Hopf bimodules over a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H is isomorphic to ${}_{H^* \# (H \otimes H^{op}) \# \overline{H^*}}\mathcal{M}$. Along the way we obtain some other results of independent interest, such as the description of left modules over a two-sided smash product.

In Section 10 we prove that, if $(H, \mathbb{A}, C)$ is a so-called Yetter-Drinfeld datum (here, C is an H-bimodule coalgebra) with C finite dimensional, then the category ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$ of (generalized) Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of left modules over the generalized diagonal crossed product $C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$.

Some remarks on techniques are in order. What is characteristic in the approach of Hausser and Nill to their constructions is the systematic use of the so-called "generating matrix" formalism of the St. Petersburg school (the use of δ-implementers, $\lambda\rho$-intertwiners etc). The replacement of H^* by an arbitrary H-bimodule algebra in our definition of the generalized diagonal crossed products makes the use of this formalism impossible, so most of our proofs are different in spirit from the ones of Hausser and Nill, and often easier (just compare our proof of the theorem concerning iterated two-sided crossed products with the original one in [7]), providing thus also an alternative approach to the constructions of Hausser and Nill. Another alternative approach has been provided by Schauenburg in [13] (using categorical techniques).

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results and fix notation used throughout the paper.

2.1. **Quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Hopf algebras.** We work over a field k. All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over k; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$. Following Drinfeld [6], a quasi-bialgebra is a fourtuple $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi)$, where H is an associative algebra with unit, Φ is an invertible element in $H\otimes H\otimes H$, and $\Delta :\ H\rightarrow H\otimes H$ and $\varepsilon :\ H\rightarrow k$ are algebra homomorphisms satisfying the identities

$$(id\otimes \Delta)(\Delta(h))=\Phi(\Delta\otimes id)(\Delta(h))\Phi^{-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(id\otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(h))=h,\ (\varepsilon\otimes id)(\Delta(h))=h, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $h\in H$, and Φ has to be a normalized 3-cocycle, in the sense that

$$(1\otimes \Phi)(id\otimes \Delta\otimes id)(\Phi)(\Phi\otimes 1)=(id\otimes id\otimes \Delta)(\Phi)(\Delta\otimes id\otimes id)(\Phi), \tag{2.3}$$

$$(id\otimes \varepsilon\otimes id)(\Phi)=1\otimes 1. \tag{2.4}$$

The identities (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) also imply that

$$(\varepsilon\otimes id\otimes id)(\Phi)=(id\otimes id\otimes \varepsilon)(\Phi)=1\otimes 1. \tag{2.5}$$

The map Δ is called the coproduct or the comultiplication, ε the counit and Φ the reassociator. As for bialgebras (see [15]) we denote $\Delta(h)=h_1\otimes h_2$, but since Δ is only quasi-coassociative we adopt the further convention (summation understood):

$$(\Delta\otimes id)(\Delta(h))=h_{(1,1)}\otimes h_{(1,2)}\otimes h_2,\ (id\otimes \Delta)(\Delta(h))=h_1\otimes h_{(2,1)}\otimes h_{(2,2)},$$

for all $h\in H$. We will denote the tensor components of Φ by capital letters, and those of Φ^{-1} by small letters, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi&=X^1\otimes X^2\otimes X^3=T^1\otimes T^2\otimes T^3=Y^1\otimes Y^2\otimes Y^3=\cdots\\ \Phi^{-1}&=x^1\otimes x^2\otimes x^3=t^1\otimes t^2\otimes t^3=y^1\otimes y^2\otimes y^3=\cdots\end{aligned}$$

The quasi-bialgebra H is called a quasi-Hopf algebra if there exists an anti-automorphism S of the algebra H and elements $\alpha, \beta\in H$ such that, for all $h\in H$, we have:

$$S(h_1)\alpha h_2=\varepsilon(h)\alpha \quad\text{and}\quad h_1\beta S(h_2)=\varepsilon(h)\beta, \tag{2.6}$$

$$X^1\beta S(X^2)\alpha X^3=1 \quad\text{and}\quad S(x^1)\alpha x^2\beta S(x^3)=1. \tag{2.7}$$

For a quasi-Hopf algebra the antipode is determined uniquely up to a transformation $\alpha\mapsto U\alpha$, $\beta\mapsto \beta U^{-1}$, $S(h)\mapsto US(h)U^{-1}$, where $U\in H$ is invertible. The axioms for a quasi-Hopf algebra imply that $\varepsilon(\alpha)\varepsilon(\beta)=1$, so, by rescaling α and β, we may assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon(\alpha)=\varepsilon(\beta)=1$ and $\varepsilon\circ S=\varepsilon$.

Together with a quasi-bialgebra or a quasi-Hopf algebra $H=(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi, S, \alpha, \beta)$ we also have H^{op}, H^{cop} and $H^{op,cop}$ as quasi-bialgebras (respectively quasi-Hopf algebras), where "op" means opposite multiplication and "cop" means opposite comultiplication. The structures are obtained by putting $\Phi_{op}=\Phi^{-1}$, $\Phi_{cop}=(\Phi^{-1})^{321}$, $\Phi_{op,cop}=\Phi^{321}$, $S_{op}=S_{cop}=(S_{op,cop})^{-1}=S^{-1}$, $\alpha_{op}=S^{-1}(\beta)$, $\beta_{op}=S^{-1}(\alpha)$, $\alpha_{cop}=S^{-1}(\alpha)$, $\beta_{cop}=S^{-1}(\beta)$, $\alpha_{op,cop}=\beta$ and $\beta_{op,cop}=\alpha$.

Next we recall that the definition of a quasi-bialgebra or quasi-Hopf algebra is "twist covariant" in the following sense. An invertible element $F\in H\otimes H$ is called a *gauge transformation* or *twist* if $(\varepsilon\otimes id)(F)=(id\otimes \varepsilon)(F)=1$. If H is a quasi-bialgebra or a quasi-Hopf algebra and $F=F^1\otimes F^2\in H\otimes H$ is a gauge transformation with inverse $F^{-1} = G^1 \otimes G^2$, then we can define a new quasi-bialgebra (respectively quasi-Hopf algebra) H_F by keeping the multiplication, unit, counit (and antipode in the case of a quasi-Hopf algebra) of H and replacing the comultiplication, reassociator and the elements α and β by

$$\Delta_F(h) = F\Delta(h)F^{-1}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Phi_F = (1 \otimes F)(id \otimes \Delta)(F)\Phi(\Delta \otimes id)(F^{-1})(F^{-1} \otimes 1), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\alpha_F = S(G^1)\alpha G^2, \quad \beta_F = F^1\beta S(F^2). \tag{2.10}$$

It is known that the antipode of a Hopf algebra is an anti-coalgebra morphism. For a quasi-Hopf algebra, we have the following: there exists a gauge transformation $f \in H \otimes H$ such that

$$f\Delta(S(h))f^{-1} = (S \otimes S)(\Delta^{cop}(h)), \quad \text{for all } h \in H. \tag{2.11}$$

The element f can be computed explicitly. First set

$$\begin{aligned} A^1 \otimes A^2 \otimes A^3 \otimes A^4 &= (\Phi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi^{-1}), \\ B^1 \otimes B^2 \otimes B^3 \otimes B^4 &= (\Delta \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi)(\Phi^{-1} \otimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

and then define $\gamma, \delta \in H \otimes H$ by

$$\gamma = S(A^2)\alpha A^3 \otimes S(A^1)\alpha A^4 \text{ and } \delta = B^1\beta S(B^4) \otimes B^2\beta S(B^3). \tag{2.12}$$

Then f and f^{-1} are given by the formulae

$$f = (S \otimes S)(\Delta^{cop}(x^1))\gamma\Delta(x^2\beta S(x^3)), \tag{2.13}$$

$$f^{-1} = \Delta(S(x^1)\alpha x^2)\delta(S \otimes S)(\Delta^{cop}(x^3)). \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, f satisfies the following relations:

$$f\Delta(\alpha) = \gamma, \ \Delta(\beta)f^{-1} = \delta. \tag{2.15}$$

Furthermore the corresponding twisted reassociator (see (2.9)) is given by

$$\Phi_f = (S \otimes S \otimes S)(X^3 \otimes X^2 \otimes X^1). \tag{2.16}$$

2.2. **Smash products.** Suppose that $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi)$ is a quasi-bialgebra. If U, V, W are left (right) H-modules, define $a_{U,V,W}, \mathbf{a}_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \to U \otimes (V \otimes W)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) &= \Phi \cdot (u \otimes (v \otimes w)), \\ \mathbf{a}_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) &= (u \otimes (v \otimes w)) \cdot \Phi^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ ($\mathcal{M}_H$) of left (right) H-modules becomes a monoidal category (see [9, 11] for the terminology) with tensor product $\otimes$ given via Δ, associativity constraints $a_{U,V,W}$ ($\mathbf{a}_{U,V,W}$), unit k as a trivial H-module and the usual left and right unit constraints.

Now, let H be a quasi-bialgebra. We say that a k-vector space A is a left H-module algebra if it is an algebra in the monoidal category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$, that is A has a multiplication and a usual unit 1_A satisfying the following conditions:

$$(aa')a'' = (X^1 \cdot a)[(X^2 \cdot a')(X^3 \cdot a'')], \tag{2.17}$$

$$h \cdot (aa') = (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot a'), \tag{2.18}$$

$$h \cdot 1_A = \varepsilon(h)1_A, \tag{2.19}$$

for all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $h \in H$, where $h \otimes a \to h \cdot a$ is the left H-module structure of A. Following [2] we define the smash product $A\#H$ as follows: as vector space $A\#H$ is $A \otimes H$ (elements $a \otimes h$ will be written $a\#h$) with multiplication given by

$$(a\#h)(a'\#h') = (x^1 \cdot a)(x^2 h_1 \cdot a')\#x^3 h_2 h', \tag{2.20}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $h, h' \in H$. This $A\#H$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_A\#1_H$ and it is defined by a universal property (as Heyneman and Sweedler did for Hopf algebras), see [2]. It is easy to see that H is a subalgebra of $A\#H$ via $h \mapsto 1\#h$, A is a k-subspace of $A\#H$ via $a \mapsto a\#1$ and the following relations hold:

$$(a\#h)(1\#h') = a\#hh',\ (1\#h)(a\#h') = h_1 \cdot a\#h_2 h', \tag{2.21}$$

for all $a \in A$, $h, h' \in H$.

For further use we need the notion of right H-module algebra. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra. We say that a k-linear space B is a right H-module algebra if B is an algebra in the monoidal category $\mathcal{M}_H$, i.e. B has a multiplication and a usual unit 1_B satisfying the following conditions:

$$(bb')b'' = (b \cdot x^1)[(b' \cdot x^2)(b'' \cdot x^3)], \tag{2.22}$$

$$(bb') \cdot h = (b \cdot h_1)(b' \cdot h_2), \tag{2.23}$$

$$1_B \cdot h = \varepsilon(h) 1_B, \tag{2.24}$$

for all $b, b', b'' \in B$ and $h \in H$, where $b \otimes h \to b \cdot h$ is the right H-module structure of B. Also, we can define a (right-handed) smash product $H\#B$ as follows: as vector space $H\#B$ is $H \otimes B$ (elements $h \otimes b$ will be written $h\#b$) with multiplication:

$$(h\#b)(h'\#b') = hh'_1 x^1 \#(b \cdot h'_2 x^2)(b' \cdot x^3), \tag{2.25}$$

for all $b, b' \in B$, $h, h' \in H$. This $H\#B$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_H\#1_B$. In fact, one can see that B^{op} becomes a left $H^{op,cop}$-module algebra and under the trivial permutation of tensor factors we have $(B^{op}\#H^{op,cop})^{op} = H\#B$.

2.3. Comodule algebras and generalized smash products.

Recall from [7] the notion of comodule algebra over a quasi-bialgebra.

Definition 2.1. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra. A unital associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called a right H-comodule algebra if there exist an algebra morphism $\rho : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A} \otimes H$ and an invertible element $\Phi_\rho \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes H \otimes H$ such that:

$$\Phi_\rho(\rho \otimes id)(\rho(\mathfrak{a})) = (id \otimes \Delta)(\rho(\mathfrak{a}))\Phi_\rho, \quad \forall\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \Phi)(id \otimes \Delta \otimes id)(\Phi_\rho)(\Phi_\rho \otimes 1_H)\\ &\qquad = (id \otimes id \otimes \Delta)(\Phi_\rho)(\rho \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi_\rho),\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \rho = id, \tag{2.28}$$

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(\Phi_\rho) = (id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(\Phi_\rho) = 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes 1_H. \tag{2.29}$$

Similarly, a unital associative algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is called a left H-comodule algebra if there exist an algebra morphism $\lambda : \mathfrak{B} \to H \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ and an invertible element $\Phi_\lambda \in H \otimes H \otimes \mathfrak{B}$

such that the following relations hold:

$$(id\otimes\lambda)(\lambda(\mathfrak{b}))\Phi_\lambda=\Phi_\lambda(\Delta\otimes id)(\lambda(\mathfrak{b})),\quad\forall\ \mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B},\tag{2.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(1_H\otimes\Phi_\lambda)(id\otimes\Delta\otimes id)(\Phi_\lambda)(\Phi\otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}})\\&\qquad=(id\otimes id\otimes\lambda)(\Phi_\lambda)(\Delta\otimes id\otimes id)(\Phi_\lambda),\end{aligned}\tag{2.31}$$

$$(\varepsilon\otimes id)\circ\lambda=id,\tag{2.32}$$

$$(id\otimes\varepsilon\otimes id)(\Phi_\lambda)=(\varepsilon\otimes id\otimes id)(\Phi_\lambda)=1_H\otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}}.\tag{2.33}$$

When H is a quasi-bialgebra, particular examples of left and right H-comodule algebras are given by $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}=H$ and $\rho=\lambda=\Delta$, $\Phi_\rho=\Phi_\lambda=\Phi$.

For a right H-comodule algebra $(\mathfrak{A},\rho,\Phi_\rho)$ we will denote

$$\rho(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle}\otimes\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle},\ \ (\rho\otimes id)(\rho(\mathfrak{a}))=\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\otimes\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,1\rangle}\otimes\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}\ \text{ etc.}$$

for any $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathfrak{A}$. Similarly, for a left H-comodule algebra $(\mathfrak{B},\lambda,\Phi_\lambda)$, if $\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}$ then we will denote

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{b})=\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]}\otimes\mathfrak{b}_{[0]},\ \ (id\otimes\lambda)(\lambda(\mathfrak{b}))=\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]}\otimes\mathfrak{b}_{[0,-1]}\otimes\mathfrak{b}_{[0,0]}\ \text{ etc.}$$

In analogy with the notation for the reassociator Φ of H, we will write

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\rho&=\tilde{X}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^3_\rho=\tilde{Y}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{Y}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{Y}^3_\rho=\cdots\\ \Phi_\rho^{-1}&=\tilde{x}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho=\tilde{y}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{y}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{y}^3_\rho=\cdots\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the element Φ_λ of a left H-comodule algebra $\mathfrak{B}$. When there is no danger of confusion we will omit the subscripts ρ or λ for the tensor components of the elements Φ_ρ, Φ_λ or for the tensor components of the elements Φ_ρ^{-1}, Φ_λ^{-1}.

If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a right H-comodule algebra then we define the elements $\tilde{p}_\rho,\tilde{q}_\rho\in\mathfrak{A}\otimes H$ as follows:

$$\tilde{p}_\rho=\tilde{p}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{p}^2_\rho=\tilde{x}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\beta S(\tilde{x}^3_\rho),\quad\tilde{q}_\rho=\tilde{q}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{q}^2_\rho=\tilde{X}^1_\rho\otimes S^{-1}(\alpha\tilde{X}^3_\rho)\tilde{X}^2_\rho.\tag{2.34}$$

By [7, Lemma 9.1], we have the following relations, for all $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathfrak{A}$:

$$\rho(\mathfrak{a}_{<0>})\tilde{p}_\rho[1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes S(\mathfrak{a}_{<1>})]=\tilde{p}_\rho[\mathfrak{a}\otimes 1_H],\tag{2.35}$$

$$[1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{<1>})]\tilde{q}_\rho\rho(\mathfrak{a}_{<0>})=[\mathfrak{a}\otimes 1_H]\tilde{q}_\rho,\tag{2.36}$$

$$\rho(\tilde{q}^1_\rho)\tilde{p}_\rho[1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes S(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)]=1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes 1_H,\tag{2.37}$$

$$[1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)]\tilde{q}_\rho\rho(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)=1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes 1_H,\tag{2.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi_\rho(\rho\otimes id_H)(\tilde{p}_\rho)(\tilde{p}_\rho\otimes id_H)\\&\qquad=(id_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes\Delta)(\rho(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)\tilde{p}_\rho)(1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes g^1S(\tilde{x}^3_\rho)\otimes g^2S(\tilde{x}^2_\rho)),\end{aligned}\tag{2.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tilde{q}_\rho\otimes 1_H)(\rho\otimes id_H)(\tilde{q}_\rho)\Phi_\rho^{-1}\\&\qquad=[1_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho)\otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho)](id_{\mathfrak{A}}\otimes\Delta)(\tilde{q}_\rho\rho(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)),\end{aligned}\tag{2.40}$$

where $f=f^1\otimes f^2$ is the element defined in (2.13) and $f^{-1}=g^1\otimes g^2$.

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra and $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra. Denote by $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$ the k-vector space $A\otimes\mathfrak{B}$ with multiplication:

$$(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b})(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b}')=(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]}\cdot a')\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}',\tag{2.41}$$

for all $a,a'\in A$ and $\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b}'\in\mathfrak{B}$. By [4], $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<1_{\mathfrak{B}}$. If we take $\mathfrak{B}=H$ then $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<H$ is just the smash product $A\#H$. For this reason the algebra $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$ is called the generalized smash product of A and $\mathfrak{B}$.

Similarly, if B is a right H-module algebra and $\mathfrak{A}$ is a right H-comodule algebra, then we denote by $\mathfrak{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ the k-vector space $\mathfrak{A} \otimes B$ with the newly defined multiplication

$$(\mathfrak{a} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)(\mathfrak{a}' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b') = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b' \cdot \tilde{x}^3_\rho), \tag{2.42}$$

for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b, b' \in B$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_{\mathfrak{A}} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_B$. Of course, if $\mathfrak{A} = H$ then $H >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B = H\#B$ as algebras.

2.4. **Bimodule algebras and bicomodule algebras.** The following definition was introduced in [7] under the name "quasi-commuting pair of H-coactions".

Definition 2.2. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra. By an H-bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}$ we mean a quintuple $(\lambda, \rho, \Phi_\lambda, \Phi_\rho, \Phi_{\lambda,\rho})$, where λ and ρ are left and right H-coactions on $\mathbb{A}$, respectively, and where $\Phi_\lambda \in H \otimes H \otimes \mathbb{A}$, $\Phi_\rho \in \mathbb{A} \otimes H \otimes H$ and $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} \in H \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes H$ are invertible elements, such that:

- $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda, \Phi_\lambda)$ is a left H-comodule algebra;
- $(\mathbb{A}, \rho, \Phi_\rho)$ is a right H-comodule algebra;
- the following compatibility relations hold:

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}(\lambda \otimes id)(\rho(u)) = (id \otimes \rho)(\lambda(u))\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}, \ \ \forall\ u \in \mathbb{A}, \tag{2.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(1_H \otimes \Phi_{\lambda,\rho})(id \otimes \lambda \otimes id)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho})(\Phi_\lambda \otimes 1_H)\\ &\qquad = (id \otimes id \otimes \rho)(\Phi_\lambda)(\Delta \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(1_H \otimes \Phi_\rho)(id \otimes \rho \otimes id)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho})(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} \otimes 1_H)\\ &\qquad = (id \otimes id \otimes \Delta)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho})(\lambda \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi_\rho).\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

As pointed out in [7], if $\mathbb{A}$ is a bicomodule algebra then, in addition, we have that

$$(id_H \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \varepsilon)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}) = 1_H \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}}, \ \ (\varepsilon \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes id_H)(\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}) = 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes 1_H. \tag{2.46}$$

As a first example of a bicomodule algebra is $\mathbb{A} = H$, $\lambda = \rho = \Delta$ and $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi_\rho = \Phi_{\lambda,\rho} = \Phi$. For the left and right comodule algebra structures of $\mathbb{A}$ we will use notation as above. For simplicity we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} &= \Theta^1 \otimes \Theta^2 \otimes \Theta^3 = \boldsymbol{\Theta}^1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\Theta}^3 = \overline{\Theta}^1 \otimes \overline{\Theta}^2 \otimes \overline{\Theta}^3,\\ \Phi^{-1}_{\lambda,\rho} &= \theta^1 \otimes \theta^2 \otimes \theta^3 = \tilde{\theta}^1 \otimes \tilde{\theta}^2 \otimes \tilde{\theta}^3 = \overline{\theta}^1 \otimes \overline{\theta}^2 \otimes \overline{\theta}^3.\end{aligned}$$

As we mentioned before, if H is a quasi-bialgebra then so is H^{op}, where "op" means the opposite multiplication. The reassociator of H^{op} is $\Phi_{op} = \Phi^{-1}$. Hence $H \otimes H^{op}$ is a quasi-bialgebra with reassociator

$$\Phi_{H \otimes H^{op}} = (X^1 \otimes x^1) \otimes (X^2 \otimes x^2) \otimes (X^3 \otimes x^3). \tag{2.47}$$

If we identify left $H \otimes H^{op}$-modules with H-bimodules, then the category of H-bimodules, ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$, is monoidal, the associativity constraints being given by $\mathbf{a}'_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \to U \otimes (V \otimes W)$,

$$\mathbf{a}'_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) = \Phi \cdot (u \otimes (v \otimes w)) \cdot \Phi^{-1}, \tag{2.48}$$

for any $U, V, W \in {}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ and $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. Therefore, we can define algebras in the category of H-bimodules. Such an algebra will be called an H-bimodule algebra. More exactly, a k-vector space $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra if $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule (denote the actions by $h \cdot \varphi$ and $\varphi \cdot h$, for $h \in H$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$)

which has a multiplication and a usual unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that for all $\varphi, \varphi', \varphi'' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in H$ the following relations hold:

$$(\varphi\varphi')\varphi'' = (X^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot x^1)[(X^2 \cdot \varphi' \cdot x^2)(X^3 \cdot \varphi'' \cdot x^3)], \tag{2.49}$$

$$h \cdot (\varphi\varphi') = (h_1 \cdot \varphi)(h_2 \cdot \varphi'),\ \ (\varphi\varphi') \cdot h = (\varphi \cdot h_1)(\varphi' \cdot h_2), \tag{2.50}$$

$$h \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}} = \varepsilon(h)1_{\mathcal{A}},\ \ 1_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot h = \varepsilon(h)1_{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{2.51}$$

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra. Then H^*, the linear dual of H, is an H-bimodule via the H-actions

$$\langle h \rightharpoonup \varphi, h' \rangle = \varphi(h'h), \quad \langle \varphi \leftharpoonup h, h' \rangle = \varphi(hh'), \tag{2.52}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h, h' \in H$. The convolution $\langle \varphi\psi, h \rangle = \varphi(h_1)\psi(h_2)$, $\varphi, \psi \in H^*$, $h \in H$, is a multiplication on H^*; it is not in general associative, but with this multiplication H^* becomes an H-bimodule algebra.

3. Generalized diagonal crossed products

In order to define the generalized diagonal crossed products we need the notion of two-sided coaction.

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra and $\mathbb{A}$ a unital associative algebra. Recall from [7] that a two-sided coaction of H on $\mathbb{A}$ is a pair (δ, Ψ) where $\delta : \mathbb{A} \to H \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes H$ is an algebra map and $\Psi \in H^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes H^{\otimes 2}$ is an invertible element such that the following relations hold:

$$(id_H \otimes \delta \otimes id_H)(\delta(u))\Psi = \Psi(\Delta \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \Delta)(\delta(u)),\ \forall\ u \in \mathbb{A}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(1_H \otimes \Psi \otimes 1_H)(id_H \otimes \Delta \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \Delta \otimes id_H)(\Psi)(\Phi \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \Phi^{-1})\\ &\quad = (id_H \otimes id_H \otimes \delta \otimes id_H \otimes id_H)(\Psi)(\Delta \otimes id_H \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes id_H \otimes \Delta)(\Psi),\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \delta = id_{\mathbb{A}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(id_H \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_H)(\Psi)\\ &\quad = (\varepsilon \otimes id_H \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes id_H \otimes \varepsilon)(\Psi) = 1_H \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes 1_H.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If H is a quasi-bialgebra then to any H-bicomodule algebra $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda, \rho, \Phi_\lambda, \Phi_\rho, \Phi_{\lambda,\rho})$ one can associate (see [7]) two two-sided H-coactions, denoted by (δ_l, Ψ_l) and (δ_r, Ψ_r). More precisely

$$\begin{cases}\delta_l = (\lambda \otimes id_H) \circ \rho,\\ \Psi_l := (id_H \otimes \lambda \otimes id_H^{\otimes 2})\left((\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} \otimes 1_H)(\lambda \otimes id_H^{\otimes 2})(\Phi_\rho^{-1})\right)[\Phi_\lambda \otimes 1_H^{\otimes 2}],\end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\delta_r = (id_H \otimes \rho) \circ \lambda,\\ \Psi_r = (id_H^{\otimes 2} \otimes \rho \otimes id_H)\left((1_H \otimes \Phi_{\lambda,\rho}^{-1})(id_H^{\otimes 2} \otimes \rho)(\Phi_\lambda)\right)[1_H^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Phi_\rho^{-1}].\end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and (δ, Ψ) a two-sided coaction of H on a unital associative algebra $\mathbb{A}$. Denote $\delta(u) := u_{(-1)} \otimes u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)}$, for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$, $\Psi = \Psi^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi^5$, $\Psi^{-1} = \overline{\Psi}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\Psi}^5$, and then define

$$\Omega_\delta = \Omega_\delta^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_\delta^5 = \overline{\Psi}^1 \otimes \overline{\Psi}^2 \otimes \overline{\Psi}^3 \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\overline{\Psi}^4) \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\overline{\Psi}^5), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Omega'_\delta = \Omega'^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta = S^{-1}(\Psi^1 g^1) \otimes S^{-1}(\Psi^2 g^2) \otimes \Psi^3 \otimes \Psi^4 \otimes \Psi^5. \tag{3.8}$$

Here $f = f^1 \otimes f^2$ is the twist defined in (2.13) and $f^{-1} = g^1 \otimes g^2$ is its inverse.

We denote by $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ the k-vector spaces $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ and respectively $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, furnished with the multiplications given respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varphi \bowtie_\delta u)(\varphi' \bowtie_\delta u')\\ &\qquad = (\Omega^1_\delta \cdot \varphi \cdot \Omega^5_\delta)(\Omega^2_\delta u_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)})\Omega^4_\delta) \bowtie_\delta \Omega^3_\delta u_{(0)} u',\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(u \bowtie_\delta \varphi)(u' \bowtie_\delta \varphi')\\ &\qquad = uu'_{(0)}\Omega'^3_\delta \bowtie_\delta (\Omega'^2_\delta S^{-1}(u'_{(-1)}) \cdot \varphi \cdot u'_{(1)}\Omega'^4_\delta)(\Omega'^1_\delta \cdot \varphi' \cdot \Omega'^5_\delta),\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$, where we write $\varphi \bowtie_\delta u$ and $u \bowtie_\delta \varphi$ in place of $\varphi \otimes u$ and respectively $u \otimes \varphi$ to distinguish the new algebraic structures, and where $\Omega_\delta = \Omega^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^5_\delta$ and $\Omega'_\delta = \Omega'^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta$ are the elements defined by (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. We call $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ the left, and respectively right, generalized diagonal crossed product between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}$.

The following (technical) lemma, expressing some relations fulfilled by the elements Ω_δ and Ω'_δ, will be essential in the sequel. It will help us to prove that the generalized diagonal crossed products defined above are associative algebras, and moreover it will allow us to regard an H-bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}$, in two ways, as a left $H \otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebra. We would like to stress that for these two aims, the explicit formulae for Ω_δ and Ω'_δ are not so important, any other elements satisfying the relations in the lemma (plus some other minor conditions) are equally good, so it would be a natural question to ask whether there exist other such elements.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algbera, $\mathbb{A}$ a unital associative algebra and (δ, Ψ) a two-sided coaction of H on $\mathbb{A}$.*

(a) *Let $\Omega_\delta = \Omega^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^5_\delta = \overline{\Omega}^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\Omega}^5_\delta$ be the element defined by (3.7). Then for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$ the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^1_\delta u_{(-1)} \otimes \Omega^2_\delta u_{(0,-1)} \otimes \Omega^3_\delta u_{(0,0)} \otimes S^{-1}(u_{(0,1)})\Omega^4_\delta \otimes S^{-1}(u_{(1)})\Omega^5_\delta\\ &\qquad = u_{(-1)_1}\Omega^1_\delta \otimes u_{(-1)_2}\Omega^2_\delta \otimes u_{(0)}\Omega^3_\delta \otimes \Omega^4_\delta S^{-1}(u_{(1)})_2 \otimes \Omega^5_\delta S^{-1}(u_{(1)})_1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&X^1(\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_1\Omega^1_\delta \otimes X^2(\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta \otimes X^3\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta(\Omega^3_\delta)_{(-1)} \otimes \overline{\Omega}^3_\delta\Omega^3_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}((\Omega^3_\delta)_{(1)})\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta x^3\\ &\quad \otimes \Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_2 x^2 \otimes \Omega^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_1 x^1 = \overline{\Omega}^1_\delta \otimes (\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta)_1\Omega^1_\delta \otimes (\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta\\ &\qquad \otimes \overline{\Omega}^3_\delta\Omega^3_\delta \otimes \Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta)_2 \otimes \Omega^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta)_1 \otimes \overline{\Omega}^5_\delta.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

(b) *Let $\Omega'_\delta = \Omega'^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta = \overline{\Omega}'^1_\delta \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\Omega}'^5_\delta$ be the element defined by (3.8). Then for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$ the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega'^1_\delta S^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \otimes \Omega'^2_\delta S^{-1}(u_{(0,-1)}) \otimes u_{(0,0)}\Omega'^3_\delta \otimes u_{(0,1)}\Omega'^4_\delta \otimes u_{(1)}\Omega'^5_\delta\\ &\qquad = S^{-1}(u_{(-1)})_2\Omega'^1_\delta \otimes S^{-1}(u_{(-1)})_1\Omega'^2_\delta \otimes \Omega'^3_\delta u_{(0)} \otimes \Omega'^4_\delta u_{(1)_1} \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta u_{(1)_2},\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&X^3\overline{\Omega}'^1_\delta \otimes X^2(\overline{\Omega}'^2_\delta)_2\Omega'^1_\delta \otimes X^1(\overline{\Omega}'^2_\delta)_1\Omega'^2_\delta \otimes \Omega'^3_\delta\overline{\Omega}'^3_\delta \otimes \Omega'^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}'^4_\delta)_1 x^1\\ &\quad \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}'^4_\delta)_2 x^2 \otimes \overline{\Omega}'^5_\delta x^3 = (\overline{\Omega}'^1_\delta)_1\Omega'^1_\delta \otimes (\overline{\Omega}'^1_\delta)_2\Omega'^2_\delta \otimes \overline{\Omega}'^2_\delta S^{-1}((\Omega'^3_\delta)_{(-1)})\\ &\qquad \otimes (\Omega'^3_\delta)_{(0)}\overline{\Omega}'^3_\delta \otimes (\Omega'^3_\delta)_{(1)}\overline{\Omega}'^4_\delta \otimes \Omega'^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}'^5_\delta)_1 \otimes \Omega'^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}'^5_\delta)_2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. We will prove only (a), (b) being similar. The relation (3.11) follows easily by applying (3.7), (3.1) and (2.11), the details are left to the reader. We prove now (3.12). We will not perform all the computations, but we will point out the relations that are used at every step. So, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^1(\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_1\Omega^1_\delta\otimes X^2(\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta\otimes X^3\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta(\Omega^3_\delta)_{(-1)}\otimes\overline{\Omega}^3_\delta\Omega^3_{\delta(0)}\\
&\qquad\otimes S^{-1}((\Omega^3_\delta)_{(1)})\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta x^3\otimes\Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_2x^2\otimes\Omega^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_1x^1\\
&\overset{(3.7,2.11)}{=} X^1\overline{\Psi}^1_1\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^1\otimes X^2\overline{\Psi}^1_2\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^2\otimes X^3\overline{\Psi}^2\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^3_{(-1)}\otimes\overline{\Psi}^3\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^3_{(0)}\otimes S^{-1}(f^1\overline{\Psi}^4\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^3_{(1)})x^3\\
&\qquad\otimes S^{-1}(F^1f^2_1\overline{\Psi}^5_1\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^4)x^2\otimes S^{-1}(F^2f^2_2\overline{\Psi}^5_2\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^5)x^1\\
&\overset{(3.2)}{=} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^1\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^2_1\overline{\Psi}^1\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^2_2\overline{\Psi}^2\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^3\overline{\Psi}^3\otimes S^{-1}(S(x^3)f^1X^1\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^4_1\overline{\Psi}^4)\\
&\qquad\otimes S^{-1}(S(x^2)F^1f^2_1X^2\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^4_2\overline{\Psi}^5)\otimes S^{-1}(S(x^1)F^2f^2_2X^3\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^5)\\
&\overset{(2.9,2.16,2.11)}{=} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^1\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^2_1\overline{\Psi}^1\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^2_2\overline{\Psi}^2\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^3\overline{\Psi}^3\otimes S^{-1}(f^1\overline{\Psi}^4)S^{-1}(F^1\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^4)_2\\
&\qquad\otimes S^{-1}(f^2\overline{\Psi}^5)S^{-1}(F^1\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^4)_1\otimes S^{-1}(F^2\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^5)\\
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} \overline{\Omega}^1_\delta\otimes(\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta)_1\Omega^1_\delta\otimes(\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta\otimes\overline{\Omega}^3_\delta\Omega^3_\delta\otimes\Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta)_2\otimes\Omega^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta)_1\otimes\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. We denoted by $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^1\otimes\cdots\otimes\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^5$ another copy of Ψ^{-1} and by $F^1\otimes F^2$ another copy of the Drinfeld twist f defined in (2.13). □

Suppose now that $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra and let $(\delta,\Psi)=(\delta_{l/r},\Psi_{\lambda/r})$ be the two-sided coactions defined by (3.5) and (3.6), respectively. For simplicity we denote $\Omega=\Omega_{\delta_l}$, $\omega=\Omega_{\delta_r}$, $\Omega'=\Omega'_{\delta_l}$ and $\omega'=\Omega'_{\delta_r}$. Concretely, the elements $\Omega,\omega\in H^{\otimes 2}\otimes\mathbb{A}\otimes H^{\otimes 2}$ come out as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega &= (\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_1}\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\theta^1\otimes(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_2}\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\theta^2_{[-1]}\\
&\qquad\otimes(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\theta^2_{[0]}\otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho\theta^3)\otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega &= \tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\Theta^1\otimes(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{X}^1_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\\
&\qquad\otimes S^{-1}(f^1(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_1}\tilde{X}^2_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle})\otimes S^{-1}(f^2(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_2}\tilde{X}^3_\rho\Theta^3),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

where $\Phi_\rho=\tilde{X}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^3_\rho$, $\Phi^{-1}_\lambda=\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\lambda$, $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}=\Theta^1\otimes\Theta^2\otimes\Theta^3$, $\Phi^{-1}_{\lambda,\rho}=\theta^1\otimes\theta^2\otimes\theta^3$ and $f=f^1\otimes f^2$ is the twist defined in (2.13).

For further use we record the fact that the formulae in Lemma 3.1 (a) specialize to $(\delta_{l/r},\Psi_{l/r})$ as follows (for all $u\in\mathbb{A}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega^1u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\otimes\Omega^2u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}}}\otimes\Omega^3u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}}}\otimes S^{-1}(u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}})\Omega^4\otimes S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^5\\
&\quad= u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]_1}}\Omega^1\otimes u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]_2}}\Omega^2\otimes u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}\Omega^3\otimes\Omega^4S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})_2\otimes\Omega^5S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})_1,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^1\overline{\Omega}^1_1\Omega^1\otimes X^2\overline{\Omega}^1_2\Omega^2\otimes X^3\overline{\Omega}^2\Omega^3_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\otimes\overline{\Omega}^3\Omega^3_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}\otimes S^{-1}(\Omega^3_{\langle 1\rangle})\overline{\Omega}^4x^3\\
&\otimes\Omega^4\overline{\Omega}^5_2x^2\otimes\Omega^5\overline{\Omega}^5_1x^1=\overline{\Omega}^1\otimes\overline{\Omega}^2_1\Omega^1\otimes\overline{\Omega}^2_2\Omega^2\otimes\overline{\Omega}^3\Omega^3\otimes\Omega^4\overline{\Omega}^4_2\otimes\Omega^5\overline{\Omega}^4_1\otimes\overline{\Omega}^5,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

and respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega^1u_{[-1]}\otimes\omega^2u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}}\otimes\omega^3u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}}}\otimes S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}}})\omega^4\otimes S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}})\omega^5\\
&\qquad= u_{[-1]_1}\omega^1\otimes u_{[-1]_2}\omega^2\otimes u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\omega^3\otimes\omega^4S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}})_2\otimes\omega^5S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}})_1,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\omega}^1_1\omega^1\otimes\overline{\omega}^1_2\omega^2\otimes\overline{\omega}^2\omega^3_{[-1]}\otimes\overline{\omega}^3\omega^3_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\otimes S^{-1}(\omega^3_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}})\overline{\omega}^4\otimes\omega^4\overline{\omega}^5_2\otimes\omega^5\overline{\omega}^5_1\\
&\qquad= x^1\overline{\omega}^1\otimes x^2\overline{\omega}^2_1\omega^1\otimes x^3\overline{\omega}^2_2\omega^2\otimes\overline{\omega}^3\omega^3\otimes\omega^4\overline{\omega}^4_2X^3\otimes\omega^5\overline{\omega}^4_1X^2\otimes\overline{\omega}^5X^1,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

where we denoted by $\Omega = \Omega^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^5 = \overline{\Omega}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\Omega}^5$ the element defined in (3.15) and by $\omega = \omega^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega^5 = \overline{\omega}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\omega}^5$ the element defined in (3.16).

If $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda, \rho, \Phi_\lambda, \Phi_\rho, \Phi_{\lambda,\rho})$ is an H-bicomodule algebra then it is not hard to see that $\mathbb{A}^{op,cop} := (\mathbb{A}^{\rm op}, \tau_{\mathbb{A},H} \circ \rho, \tau_{H,\mathbb{A}} \circ \lambda, \Phi_\rho^{321}, \Phi_\lambda^{321}, \Phi_{\lambda,\rho}^{321})$ is an $H^{\rm op,cop}$-bicomodule algebra (by $\tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$ we denoted the switch map $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$). Moreover, in $H^{op,cop}$ we have that the Drinfeld twist (defined for an arbitrary quasi-Hopf algebra in (2.13)) is given by $f_{op,cop} = f_{21}^{-1} = g^2 \otimes g^1$, where f is the Drinfeld twist of H. Now, if we denote by $\Omega_{op,cop}$ and $\omega_{op,cop}$ the elements $\Omega_{\delta_{l/r}}$ corresponding to the $H^{op,cop}$-bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}^{op,cop}$, then one can easily check that

$$\Omega' = (\omega_{op,cop})^{54321} \text{ and } \omega' = (\Omega_{op,cop})^{54321},$$

so we restrict to the study of the elements Ω, ω and their associated constructions.

Finally, for this particular situation we denote $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{\delta_l} \mathbb{A} = \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{\delta_r} \mathbb{A} = \mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_{\delta_l} \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_{\delta_r} \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary H-bimodule algebra. So the first two constructions are left generalized diagonal crossed products and the last two are right generalized diagonal crossed products. For example, the multiplications in $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi \bowtie u)(\varphi' \bowtie u') \\ & \qquad = (\Omega^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot \Omega^5)(\Omega^2 u_{\langle 0 \rangle_{[-1]}} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1 \rangle})\Omega^4) \bowtie \Omega^3 u_{\langle 0 \rangle_{[0]}} u', \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft u)(\varphi' \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft u') \\ & \qquad = (\omega^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot \omega^5)(\omega^2 u_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 1 \rangle}})\omega^4) \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \omega^3 u_{[0]_{\langle 0 \rangle}} u', \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$, where we write $\varphi \bowtie u$ and $\varphi \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft u$ in place of $\varphi \otimes u$ to distinguish the new algebraic structures.

We are now ready to show that the generalized diagonal crossed products are unital associative algebras.

Proposition 3.2. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathbb{A}$ a unital associative algebra and (δ, Ψ) a two-sided coaction of H on $\mathbb{A}$. Consider $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$, the k-vector spaces $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ and respectively $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, endowed with the multiplications defined in (3.9) and (3.10), respectively. Then these products define on $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ two structures of associative algebra with unit $1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathbb{A}}$ (respectively $1_{\mathbb{A}} \bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathcal{A}}$), containing $\mathbb{A} \equiv 1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ (respectively $\mathbb{A} \equiv \mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathcal{A}}$) as unital subalgebra.*

Consequently, if $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra then $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$ are associative algebras containing $\mathbb{A}$ as unital subalgebra.

Proof. We will give the proof only for $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$, the one for $\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ being similar (it will use the relations satisfied by Ω'_δ, instead of the ones satisfied by Ω_δ). For $\varphi, \varphi', \varphi'' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u', u'' \in \mathbb{A}$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi \bowtie_\delta u)[(\varphi' \bowtie_\delta u')(\varphi'' \bowtie_\delta u'')] \\ \overset{(3.9)}{=} \ & (\varphi \bowtie_\delta u)[(\Omega_\delta^1 \cdot \varphi' \cdot \Omega_\delta^5)(\Omega_\delta^2 u'_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi'' \cdot S^{-1}(u'_{(-1)})\Omega_\delta^4) \bowtie_\delta \Omega_\delta^3 u'_{(0)} u''] \\ \overset{(3.9,2.50)}{=} \ & (\overline{\Omega}_\delta^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot \overline{\Omega}_\delta^5)[((\overline{\Omega}_\delta^2)_1 u_{(-1)_1} \Omega_\delta^1 \cdot \varphi' \cdot \Omega_\delta^5 S^{-1}(u_{(-1)})_1 (\overline{\Omega}_\delta^4)_1)((\overline{\Omega}_\delta^2)_2 u_{(-1)_2} \\ & \times \Omega_\delta^2 u'_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi'' \cdot S^{-1}(u'_{(1)})\Omega_\delta^4 S^{-1}(u_{(1)})_2 (\overline{\Omega}_\delta^4)_2)] \bowtie_\delta \overline{\Omega}_\delta^3 u_{(0)} \Omega_\delta^3 u'_{(0)} u'' \\ \overset{(3.11)}{=} \ & (\overline{\Omega}_\delta^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot \overline{\Omega}_\delta^5)[(\overline{\Omega}_\delta^2)_1 \Omega_\delta^1 u_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)})\Omega_\delta^5 (\overline{\Omega}_\delta^4)_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta u_{(0,-1)}u'_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi''\cdot S^{-1}(u_{(0,1)}u'{}_{(1)})\Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta)_2)] \\
& \bowtie_\delta \overline{\Omega}^3_\delta\Omega^3_\delta u_{(0,0)}u'_{(0)}u'' \\
\overset{(3.12,2.49)}{=} \; & [((\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_1\Omega^1_\delta\cdot\varphi\cdot\Omega^5_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_1)((\overline{\Omega}^1_\delta)_2\Omega^2_\delta u_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)})\Omega^4_\delta(\overline{\Omega}^5_\delta)_2)] \\
& (\overline{\Omega}^2_\delta(\Omega^3_\delta)_{(-1)}u_{(0,-1)}u'_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi''\cdot S^{-1}((\Omega^3_\delta)_{(1)}u_{(0,1)}u'_{(1)})\overline{\Omega}^4_\delta) \\
& \bowtie_\delta \overline{\Omega}^3_\delta(\Omega^3_\delta)_{(0)}u_{(0,0)}u'_{(0)}u'' \\
\overset{(2.50,3.9)}{=} \; & [(\Omega^1_\delta\cdot\varphi\cdot\Omega^5_\delta)(\Omega^2_\delta u_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)})\Omega^4_\delta)\bowtie_\delta\Omega^3_\delta u_{(0)}u'](\varphi''\bowtie_\delta u'') \\
\overset{(3.9)}{=} \; & [(\varphi\bowtie_\delta u)(\varphi'\bowtie_\delta u')](\varphi''\bowtie_\delta u'').
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $1_{\mathcal{A}}\bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the unit follows easily from the (co) unit axioms. $\square$

Remark 3.3. In the algebras $\mathcal{A}\bowtie_\delta\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}\bowtie_\delta\mathcal{A}$ we have $(\varphi\bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathbb{A}})(1_{\mathcal{A}}\bowtie_\delta u)=\varphi\bowtie_\delta u$ and $(u\bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathcal{A}})(1_{\mathbb{A}}\bowtie_\delta\varphi)=u\bowtie_\delta u$, for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{A}$ and $u\in\mathbb{A}$.

Examples 3.4. 1) As we mentioned before, if H is a quasi-Hopf algebra then H^* is an H-bimodule algebra, hence it makes sense to consider the algebras $H^*\bowtie_\delta\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}\bowtie_\delta H^*$, which are exactly the left and right diagonal crossed products constructed in [7]. For this reason we called the algebras in Proposition 3.2 the generalized diagonal crossed products.

2) Let A be a left H-module algebra. Then A becomes an H-bimodule algebra, where the right H-action is given via ε. In this particular case $A\bowtie H$ and $A\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft H$ coincide both to the smash product algebra $A\#H$. Moreover, if we replace the quasi-Hopf algebra H by an arbitrary H-bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}$, then $A\bowtie\mathbb{A}$ and $A\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft\mathbb{A}$ coincide with the generalized smash product algebra $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}$. Therefore, the generalized diagonal crossed products may be viewed as a generalization of the (generalized) smash product.

3) As we have already mentioned, H itself is an H-bicomodule algebra. So, in this case, the multiplications of the generalized diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A}\bowtie H$ and $\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft H$ specialize to

$$(\varphi\bowtie h)(\varphi'\bowtie h')=(\Omega^1\cdot\varphi\cdot\Omega^5)(\Omega^2h_{(1,1)}\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(h_2)\Omega^4)\bowtie\Omega^3h_{(1,2)}h', \tag{3.23}$$

$$(\varphi\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft h)(\varphi'\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft h')=(\omega^1\cdot\varphi\cdot\omega^5)(\omega^2h_1\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(h_{(2,2)})\omega^4)\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft\omega^3h_{(2,1)}h', \tag{3.24}$$

for all $\varphi,\varphi'\in\mathcal{A}$ and $h,h'\in H$, where $\Omega=\Omega^1\otimes\cdots\otimes\Omega^5$, $\omega=\omega^1\otimes\ldots\otimes\omega^5\in H^{\otimes 5}$ are now given by:

$$\Omega=X^1_{(1,1)}x^1y^1\otimes X^1_{(1,2)}x^2y^2_1\otimes X^1_2x^3y^2_2\otimes S^{-1}(f^1X^2y^3)\otimes S^{-1}(f^2X^3), \tag{3.25}$$

$$\omega=x^1\otimes x^2Y^1\otimes x^3_1X^1Y^2_1\otimes S^{-1}(f^1x^3_{(2,1)}X^2Y^2_2)\otimes S^{-1}(f^2x^3_{(2,2)}X^3Y^3), \tag{3.26}$$

and where $f=f^1\otimes f^2$ is the twist defined in (2.13).

4) Let H be an ordinary Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra in the usual (Hopf) sense. In this case the multiplications of $\mathcal{A}\bowtie\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft\mathbb{A}$ coincide, and are given by

$$(\varphi\bowtie u)(\varphi'\bowtie u')=\varphi(u_{\{-1\}}\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(u_{\{1\}}))\bowtie u_{\{0\}}u', \tag{3.27}$$

for all $\varphi,\varphi'\in\mathcal{A}$ and $u,u'\in\mathbb{A}$, where

$$u_{\{-1\}}\otimes u_{\{0\}}\otimes u_{\{1\}}:=u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\otimes u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}=u_{[-1]}\otimes u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\otimes u_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}.$$

This construction appears in [17], in a slightly different form (namely, with S instead of S^{-1}), under the name "generalized twisted smash product" (a particular case, when $\mathbb{A} = H$, was introduced in [16]).

Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra. For an H-bicomodule algebra $\mathbb{A}$ and an H-bimodule algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the multiplications of the right generalized diagonal crossed products $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$ are the following. If $\Omega' = \Omega'^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega'^5$ and $\omega' = \omega'^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega'^5$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.28)\qquad & (u \bowtie \varphi)(u' \bowtie \varphi') \\ &= uu'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}\Omega'^3 \bowtie (\Omega'^2 S^{-1}(u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}) \cdot \varphi \cdot u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\Omega'^4)(\Omega'^1 \cdot \varphi' \cdot \Omega'^5),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(3.29)\qquad & (u \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \varphi)(u' \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \varphi') \\ &= uu'_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\omega'^3 \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\omega'^2 S^{-1}(u'_{[-1]}) \cdot \varphi \cdot u'_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}\omega'^4)(\omega'^1 \cdot \varphi' \cdot \omega'^5),\end{aligned}$$

for all $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$. We know from Proposition 3.2 that $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$ are associative algebras with unit $1_{\mathbb{A}} \bowtie 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathbb{A}} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively, containing $\mathbb{A}$ as unital subalgebra. In fact, under the trivial permutation of tensor factors we have that

$$(3.30)\qquad \mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A} \equiv (\mathcal{A}^{op} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}^{op,cop})^{op},\ \ \mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A} \equiv (\mathcal{A}^{op} \bowtie \mathbb{A}^{op,cop})^{op},$$

where the left generalized diagonal crossed products are made over $H^{op,cop}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ becomes an $H^{op,cop}$-bimodule algebra via the actions $h \cdot_{op} \varphi \cdot_{op} h' = h' \cdot \varphi \cdot h$, for all $h, h' \in H$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$. In the sequel we will restrict to the study of the left generalized diagonal crossed products.

Remark 3.5. Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. In [7], Hausser and Nill proved that the two left (right) diagonal crossed products $H^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ ($\mathbb{A} \bowtie H^*$) and $H^* \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ ($\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft H^*$) are isomorphic as algebras, and then that these four diagonal crossed products are isomorphic as algebras. We will prove in the next section that such result is also true for generalized diagonal crossed products, but as a consequence of the fact that the (generalized) diagonal crossed products can be written as some generalized smash products, and of an explicit algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$.

Remark 3.6. There exists a very general scheme, due to Schauenburg [14], for constructing associative algebras starting with a monoidal category acting on a category of modules, and it is likely that the generalized diagonal crossed products fit into this scheme. However, we have chosen to prove the associativity of $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ by direct computation, first because Schauenburg's machinery is itself quite complicated, and second because the difficulty of our proof lies actually *only* in Lemma 3.1, which is needed anyway in the next section.

If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, Hausser and Nill constructed a map Γ from H^* to the diagonal crossed product $\mathbb{A} \bowtie H^*$, having the property that $\mathbb{A} \bowtie H^*$ is generated as algebra by $\mathbb{A}$ and $\Gamma(H^*)$. Such a map may also be constructed for the generalized diagonal crossed products. We need first the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\varphi \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}} = (1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie \tilde{q}^1_\rho)((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}),$$

where $\tilde{p}_\rho$ *and* $\tilde{q}_\rho$ *are given by (2.34).*

Proof. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie \tilde{q}^1_\rho)((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})\\
&\overset{(3.21)}{=} (\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) S^{-1}((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}) \bowtie (\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\\
&\overset{(2.37)}{=} \varphi \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}},
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let* H *be a quasi-Hopf algebra,* $\mathcal{A}$ *an* H*-bimodule algebra and* $\mathbb{A}$ *an* H*-bicomodule algebra. Define the map* $\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$,

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}, \tag{3.31}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$*. Then* $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ *is generated as algebra by* $\mathbb{A}$ *and* $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$*.*

Proof. By the previous lemma it follows that

$$\varphi \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}} = (1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie \tilde{q}^1_\rho)\Gamma(\varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho),$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, so for $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in \mathbb{A}$ we can write

$$\varphi \bowtie u = (1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie \tilde{q}^1_\rho)\Gamma(\varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho)(1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie u),$$

finishing the proof. □

We will see other properties of the map Γ in subsequent sections.

We prove now a sort of associativity property of generalized diagonal crossed products with respect to tensoring by an arbitrary associative algebra.

Proposition 3.9. *Let* H *be a quasi-Hopf algebra,* $\mathcal{A}$ *an* H*-bimodule algebra,* $\mathbb{A}$ *an* H*-bicomodule algebra and* C *an associative algebra. On* $\mathbb{A} \otimes C$ *we have a (canonical)* H*-bicomodule algebra structure, yielding algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathbb{A} \otimes C) \equiv (\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}) \otimes C, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathbb{A} \otimes C) \equiv (\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}) \otimes C, \tag{3.33}$$

defined by the trivial identifications.

Proof. The H-bicomodule algebra structure on $\mathbb{A} \otimes C$ is given in such a way that everything that happens on C is trivial, for instance the right H-comodule algebra structure is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C} : \mathbb{A} \otimes C \to (\mathbb{A} \otimes C) \otimes H,\\
&\rho_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C}(u \otimes c) = (u_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes c) \otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{A},\ c \in C,\\
&(\Phi_\rho)_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C} \in (\mathbb{A} \otimes C) \otimes H \otimes H,\\
&(\Phi_\rho)_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C} = (\tilde{X}^1_\rho \otimes 1_C) \otimes \tilde{X}^2_\rho \otimes \tilde{X}^3_\rho,
\end{aligned}$$

and one can easily check that indeed $\mathbb{A} \otimes C$ becomes an H-bicomodule algebra. Also, it is easy to see that the elements Ω and ω for $\mathbb{A} \otimes C$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C} &= \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^2 \otimes (\Omega^3 \otimes 1_C) \otimes \Omega^4 \otimes \Omega^5,\\
\omega_{\mathbb{A}\otimes C} &= \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 \otimes (\omega^3 \otimes 1_C) \otimes \omega^4 \otimes \omega^5,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^5$ and $\omega = \omega^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega^5$ are the ones for $\mathbb{A}$. Using this one obtains that the multiplications in $\mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathbb{A} \otimes C)$ and respectively $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathbb{A} \otimes C)$ coincide with those in $(\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}) \otimes C$ respectively $(\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}) \otimes C$ via the trivial identifications. □

4. GENERALIZED DIAGONAL CROSSED PRODUCTS AS GENERALIZED SMASH PRODUCTS

Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. We define two left $H\otimes H^{op}$-coactions on $\mathbb{A}$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : \mathbb{A} \to (H\otimes H^{op})\otimes \mathbb{A},\\
&\lambda_1(u) = (u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\otimes S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle}))\otimes u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} := u_{(-1)}\otimes u_{(0)},\\
&\lambda_2(u) = (u_{[-1]}\otimes S^{-1}(u_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}))\otimes u_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}} := u^{(-1)}\otimes u^{(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u\in \mathbb{A}$ (of course, in the Hopf case these two coactions coincide).

If we look at the element $\Omega\in H^{\otimes 2}\otimes \mathbb{A}\otimes H^{\otimes 2}$ given by (3.15) and consider the element $(\Omega^1\otimes \Omega^5)\otimes(\Omega^2\otimes\Omega^4)\otimes\Omega^3$, then one can check that this element is invertible in $(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes\mathbb{A}$, its inverse being given by

$$(\Theta^1\tilde{X}^1_\lambda(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_1}\otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{x}^3_\rho g^2))\otimes(\Theta^2_{[-1]}\tilde{X}^2_\lambda(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_2}\otimes S^{-1}(\Theta^3\tilde{x}^2_\rho g^1))\otimes\Theta^2_{[0]}\tilde{X}^3_\lambda(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[0]},$$

where $f^{-1}=g^1\otimes g^2$ is the element given by (2.14). We will denote by $\Phi_{\lambda_1}\in (H\otimes H^{op})\otimes(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes\mathbb{A}$ this inverse.

Similarly, if we look at the element ω given by (3.16) and consider the element $(\omega^1\otimes\omega^5)\otimes(\omega^2\otimes\omega^4)\otimes\omega^3$, then one can check that this element is invertible in $(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes\mathbb{A}$, with inverse defined by

$$(\tilde{Y}^1_\lambda\otimes S^{-1}(\theta^3\tilde{y}^3_\rho(\tilde{Y}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_2}g^2))\otimes(\theta^1\tilde{Y}^2_\lambda\otimes S^{-1}(\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{y}^2_\rho(\tilde{Y}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_1}g^1))\otimes\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho(\tilde{Y}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}.$$

We will denote by $\Phi_{\lambda_2}\in(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes\mathbb{A}$ this inverse.

The next proposition generalizes the corresponding result obtained for Hopf algebras in [5].

Proposition 4.1. *With notation as above,* $(\mathbb{A},\lambda_1,\Phi_{\lambda_1})$ *and respectively* $(\mathbb{A},\lambda_2,\Phi_{\lambda_2})$ *are left* $H\otimes H^{op}$*-comodule algebras, denoted by* $\mathbb{A}_1$ *respectively* $\mathbb{A}_2$*.*

Proof. It is easy to see that λ_1 and λ_2 are algebra maps, and also that the conditions (2.32) and (2.33) in the definition of a left comodule algebra are satisfied. Then the conditions (2.30) and (2.31) for $(\mathbb{A},\lambda_1,\Phi_{\lambda_1})$ (respectively for $(\mathbb{A},\lambda_2,\Phi_{\lambda_2})$) to be a left $H\otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebra are equivalent to the relations (3.17) and (3.18) fulfilled by Ω (respectively to the relations (3.19) and (3.20) fulfilled by ω). □

We are now able to express the (generalized) diagonal crossed products over H as some generalized smash products over $H\otimes H^{op}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* H *be a quasi-Hopf algebra,* $\mathcal{A}$ *an* H*-bimodule algebra and* $\mathbb{A}$ *an* H*-bicomodule algebra. View* $\mathcal{A}$ *as a left* $H\otimes H^{op}$*-module algebra with action* $(h\otimes h')\cdot\varphi = h\cdot\varphi\cdot h'$ *for all* $h, h'\in H$ *and* $\varphi\in\mathcal{A}$*, and consider the two left* $H\otimes H^{op}$*-comodule algebras* $\mathbb{A}_1$ *and* $\mathbb{A}_2$ *obtained from* $\mathbb{A}$ *as above. Then we have algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{A}\bowtie\mathbb{A}\equiv\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}_1,\quad \mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft\mathbb{A}\equiv\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}_2,$$

defined by the trivial identifications.

Proof. We only prove the first isomorphism, the second being similar. The multiplication in $\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}_1$ looks as follows (for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi\blacktriangleright\!\!< u)(\varphi'\blacktriangleright\!\!< u')\\
&\quad = ((\tilde{x}^1_\lambda)_{\mathbb{A}_1}\cdot\varphi)((\tilde{x}^2_\lambda)_{\mathbb{A}_1}u_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi')\blacktriangleright\!\!<(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\mathbb{A}_1}u_{(0)}u'\\
&\quad = ((\Omega^1\otimes\Omega^5)\cdot\varphi)((\Omega^2\otimes\Omega^4)(u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\otimes S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle}))\cdot\varphi')\blacktriangleright\!\!<\Omega^3u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}u'\\
&\quad = (\Omega^1\cdot\varphi\cdot\Omega^5)(\Omega^2u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\cdot\varphi'\cdot S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4)\blacktriangleright\!\!<\Omega^3u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}u',
\end{aligned}$$

and via the trivial identification this is exactly the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}\bowtie\mathbb{A}$. □

Recall that, for a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H, the quantum double $D(H)$ was first introduced by Majid in [10] by an implicit Tannaka-Krein reconstruction procedure, and more explicit descriptions were obtained afterwards by Hausser and Nill in [7], [8]. Actually, Hausser and Nill provided *four* explicit realizations of $D(H)$, two built on $H^*\otimes H$ and two on $H\otimes H^*$; all are, as algebras, diagonal crossed products, namely the two realizations built on $H^*\otimes H$ coincide with $H^*\bowtie H$ and $H^*\blacktriangleright\!\blacktriangleleft H$ and the two built on $H\otimes H^*$ coincide with $H\bowtie H^*$ and $H\blacktriangleright\!\blacktriangleleft H^*$.

On the other hand, it was proved in [5] that the Drinfeld double of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra may be written as a generalized smash product. As a corollary to the previous proposition, we obtain a generalization of this result for quasi-Hopf algebras.

Corollary 4.3. *If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, then the quantum double $D(H)$ may be written as a generalized smash product.*

Proof. In the previous proposition take $\mathcal{A} = H^*$, $\mathbb{A} = H$ and use the fact that $H^*\bowtie H$ and $H^*\blacktriangleright\!\blacktriangleleft H$ are realizations for $D(H)$. □

Let us also record the fact that the two left $H\otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebra structures on H are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : H \to (H\otimes H^{op})\otimes H,\\
&\lambda_1(h) = (h_{(1,1)}\otimes S^{-1}(h_2))\otimes h_{(1,2)},\\
&\lambda_2(h) = (h_1\otimes S^{-1}(h_{(2,2)}))\otimes h_{(2,1)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $h\in H$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{\lambda_1}, \Phi_{\lambda_2} \in (H\otimes H^{op})\otimes(H\otimes H^{op})\otimes H,\\
&\Phi_{\lambda_1} = (Y^1X^1x^1_{(1,1)}\otimes S^{-1}(x^3g^2))\otimes(Y^2_1X^2x^1_{(1,2)}\otimes S^{-1}(Y^3x^2g^1))\otimes Y^2_2X^3x^1_2,\\
&\Phi_{\lambda_2} = (Y^1\otimes S^{-1}(x^3y^3Y^3_{(2,2)}g^2))\otimes(x^1Y^2\otimes S^{-1}(x^2_2y^2Y^3_{(2,1)}g^1))\otimes x^2_1y^1Y^3_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f^{-1} = g^1\otimes g^2$ is the element given by (2.14).

Let again H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. We intend to prove that the two generalized left diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A}\bowtie\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\blacktriangleright\!\blacktriangleleft\mathbb{A}$ are isomorphic as algebras, using their description as generalized smash products. First we need a result on generalized smash products. Namely, let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra, $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra and $U\in H\otimes\mathfrak{B}$ an invertible element such that $(\varepsilon\otimes id_{\mathfrak{B}})(U) = 1_{\mathfrak{B}}$. If we define a map

$$\lambda' : \mathfrak{B}\to H\otimes\mathfrak{B}, \quad \lambda'(\mathfrak{b}) = U\lambda(\mathfrak{b})U^{-1},$$

then, by [7], this is a new left H-comodule algebra structure on $\mathfrak{B}$, with

$$\Phi_{\lambda'} = (1_H \otimes U)(id_H \otimes \lambda)(U)\Phi_\lambda(\Delta \otimes id_{\mathfrak{B}})(U^{-1}),$$

which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{B}'$ (and we will say that $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}'$ are "twist equivalent"). We then may consider the generalized smash products $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$ and $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}'$.

Proposition 4.4. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} &f : A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B} \to A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}', \\ &f(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b}) = U \cdot (a\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b}) = U^1 \cdot a\blacktriangleright\!\!<U^2\mathfrak{b} \end{aligned}$$

is an algebra isomorphism, and moreover $f(1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b}) = 1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{b}$, *for all* $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$ *(that is,* $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$ *and* $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}'$ *are equivalent extensions of* $\mathfrak{B}$*).*

Proof. Follows by a direct computation. □

In view of this proposition, it will be sufficient to prove that if $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, then the two left $H \otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebras $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$ constructed before are twist equivalent. To prove this, we need first a technical lemma (a part of it will be used also in a subsequent section).

Lemma 4.5. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Consider the elements Ω and ω given by (3.15) and (3.16). Then the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta^1_1\Omega^1 \otimes \Theta^1_2\Omega^2 \otimes \Theta^2\Omega^3 \otimes \Omega^5 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_1 \otimes \Omega^4 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_2 \\ &\qquad = \Theta^1_1\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \otimes \Theta^1_2\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\overline{\Theta}^1 \otimes \tilde{X}^1_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^2 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho\Theta^3) \\ &\qquad\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^3), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta^1_1\Omega^1\theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\theta^3)\Omega^5 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_1 \otimes \Theta^1_2\Omega^2\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \otimes S^{-1}(\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_2 \\ &\qquad \otimes\Theta^2\Omega^3\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^5 \otimes \omega^2\Theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\Theta^3)\omega^4 \otimes \omega^3\Theta^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. The relation (4.1) follows by applying (2.11), (2.45) and (2.44), we leave the details to the reader. We prove now (4.2). We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta^1_1\Omega^1\theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\theta^3)\Omega^5 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_1 \\ &\qquad\qquad \otimes\Theta^1_2\Omega^2\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \otimes S^{-1}(\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_2 \otimes \Theta^2\Omega^3\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} \\ &\overset{(4.1)}{=} \Theta^1_1\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho\Theta^3\theta^3) \otimes \Theta^1_2\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\overline{\Theta}^1\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \\ &\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^3\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}) \otimes \tilde{X}^1_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^2\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} \\ &\overset{(2.44)}{=} \tilde{x}^1_\lambda\tilde{\Theta}^1\theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}\Theta^3\tilde{\Theta}^3\theta^3) \otimes \tilde{x}^2_\lambda\Theta^1\tilde{\Theta}^2_{[-1]}\overline{\Theta}^1\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \\ &\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle_{\langle 1\rangle}}\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{\Theta}^2_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}\overline{\Theta}^3\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}) \otimes \tilde{X}^1_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle_{\langle 0\rangle}}\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{\Theta}^2_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\overline{\Theta}^2\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} \\ &\overset{(2.43)}{=} \tilde{x}^1_\lambda \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}\Theta^3) \otimes \tilde{x}^2_\lambda\Theta^1\overline{\Theta}^1 \\ &\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle_{\langle 1\rangle}}\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^3) \otimes \tilde{X}^1_\rho(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle_{\langle 0\rangle}}\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^2 \\ &\overset{(2.26)}{=} \tilde{x}^1_\lambda \otimes S^{-1}(f^2(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_2}\tilde{X}^3_\rho\Theta^3) \otimes \tilde{x}^2_\lambda\Theta^1\overline{\Theta}^1 \\ &\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(f^1(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle_1}\tilde{X}^2_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^3) \otimes (\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{X}^1_\rho\Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\overline{\Theta}^2 \\ &\overset{(3.16)}{=} \omega^1 \otimes \omega^5 \otimes \omega^2\overline{\Theta}^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3)\omega^4 \otimes \omega^3\overline{\Theta}^2, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then the left $H \otimes H^{op}$-comodule algebras $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$ are twist equivalent. More exactly, for the element $U \in (H \otimes H^{op}) \otimes \mathbb{A}$ given by*

$$U = (\Theta^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\Theta^3)) \otimes \Theta^2,$$

we have that

$$\lambda_2(u) = U\lambda_1(u)U^{-1}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{A},$$
$$\Phi_{\lambda_2} = (1 \otimes U)(id \otimes \lambda_1)(U)\Phi_{\lambda_1}(\Delta \otimes id)(U^{-1}).$$

Proof. The first relation follows immediately from (2.43), and the second is equivalent to the relation (4.2) proved in the previous lemma. □

As a consequence of these results and (3.30), we obtain:

Corollary 4.7. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then the two generalized left (right) diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ ($\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$, respectively) are isomorphic as algebras, and moreover they are equivalent extensions of $\mathbb{A}$.*

Remark 4.8. Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra with $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} = 1_H \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes 1_H$. Then, by (2.43) it follows that

$$(\lambda \otimes id)\rho = (id \otimes \rho)\lambda,$$

and by (4.2) it follows that $\Omega = \omega$. So, in this case we have that $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ are not only isomorphic, but they actually coincide, and that $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$ also coincide. An example of such an $\mathbb{A}$ is the tensor product $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a right comodule algebra and $\mathfrak{B}$ is a left comodule algebra, see [7]. We will encounter another example in a subsequent section.

We end this section by showing that the left generalized diagonal crossed products are isomorphic, as algebras, to the right generalized diagonal crossed products.

Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $\mathbb{A}$ a unital associative algebra and (δ, Ψ) a two-sided coaction of H on $\mathbb{A}$. We associate to (δ, Ψ) the elements $p_\delta, q_\delta \in H \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes H$ as follows:

$$p_\delta = p_\delta^1 \otimes p_\delta^2 \otimes p_\delta^3 = \Psi^2 S^{-1}(\Psi^1\beta) \otimes \Psi^3 \otimes \Psi^4 \beta S(\Psi^5), \tag{4.3}$$

$$q_\delta = q_\delta^1 \otimes q_\delta^2 \otimes q_\delta^3 = S(\overline{\Psi}^1)\alpha\overline{\Psi}^2 \otimes \overline{\Psi}^3 \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha\overline{\Psi}^5)\overline{\Psi}^4. \tag{4.4}$$

By [7] we have the following relations, for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$:

$$p_\delta(1_H \otimes u \otimes 1_H) = \delta(u_{(0)})p_\delta[S^{-1}(u_{(-1)}) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes S(u_{(1)})], \tag{4.5}$$

$$(1_H \otimes u \otimes 1_H)q_\delta = [S(u_{(-1)}) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes S^{-1}(u_{(1)})]q_\delta\delta(u_{(0)}), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&[S(\overline{\Psi}^2)f^1 \otimes S(\overline{\Psi}^1)f^2 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes S^{-1}(F^2\overline{\Psi}^5) \otimes S^{-1}(F^1\overline{\Psi}^4)]\\ &\quad\times(\Delta \otimes id_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \Delta)(q_\delta\delta(\overline{\Psi}^3)) = [1_H \otimes q_\delta \otimes 1_H](id_H \otimes \delta \otimes id_H)(q_\delta)\Psi,\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\delta(q_\delta^2)p_\delta[S^{-1}(q_\delta^1) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes S(q_\delta^3)] = 1_H \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes 1_H, \tag{4.8}$$

$$[S(p_\delta^1) \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes S^{-1}(p_\delta^3)]q_\delta\delta(p_\delta^2) = 1_H \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes 1_H, \tag{4.9}$$

where $f = f^1 \otimes f^2 = F^1 \otimes F^2$ is the Drinfeld twist defined in (2.13). Moreover, the definitions of q_δ and of a two-sided coaction imply

$$\begin{aligned}&q_\delta^1\Psi^1 \otimes (q_\delta^2)_{(-1)}\Psi^2 \otimes (q_\delta^2)_{(0)}\Psi^3 \otimes (q_\delta^2)_{(1)}\Psi^4 \otimes q_\delta^3\Psi^5\\ &\qquad = S(\overline{\Psi}^1)q_L^1\overline{\Psi}_1^2 \otimes q_L^2\overline{\Psi}_2^2 \otimes \overline{\Psi}^3 \otimes q_R^1\overline{\Psi}_1^4 \otimes S^{-1}(\overline{\Psi}^5)q_R^2\overline{\Psi}_2^4,\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $q_L = q_L^1 \otimes q_L^2 := S(x^1)\alpha x^2 \otimes x^3$ and $q_R = q_R^1 \otimes q_R^2 := X^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha X^3)X^2$. Finally, we need the formulae

$$(S(h_1)\otimes 1_H)q_L\Delta(h_2) = (1\otimes h)q_L, \tag{4.11}$$

$$(1_H\otimes S^{-1}(h_2))q_R\Delta(h_1) = (h\otimes 1_H)q_R, \tag{4.12}$$

for all $h \in H$, which have been established in [7].

Proposition 4.9. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, (δ, Ψ) a two-sided coaction of H on an associative unital algebra $\mathbb{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Then the map $\vartheta : \mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}$ defined for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in \mathbb{A}$ by*

$$\vartheta(\varphi \bowtie_\delta u) = q_\delta^2 u_{(0)} \bowtie S^{-1}(q_\delta^1 u_{(-1)}) \cdot \varphi \cdot q_\delta^3 u_{(1)}$$

is an algebra isomorphism. In particular, if $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra then we get that all four generalized diagonal crossed products $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathcal{A}$ are isomorphic as unital algebras.

Proof. We show that ϑ is multiplicative. For any $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vartheta((\varphi \bowtie_\delta u)(\varphi' \bowtie_\delta u'))\\
&\overset{(3.9,3.7)}{=} \vartheta((\overline{\Psi}^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(f^2\overline{\Psi}^5))(\overline{\Psi}^2 u_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(f^1\overline{\Psi}^4 u_{(1)})) \bowtie_\delta \overline{\Psi}^3 u_{(0)}u')\\
&\overset{(2.50,2.11)}{=} q_\delta^2 \overline{\Psi}^3_{(0)} u_{(0,0)}u'_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta (S^{-1}(F^2(q_\delta^1)_2\overline{\Psi}^3_{(-1)_2}u_{(0,-1)_2}u'_{(-1)_2}g^2)\overline{\Psi}^1\\
&\quad \cdot\varphi \cdot S^{-1}(f^2\overline{\Psi}^5)(q_\delta^3)_1\overline{\Psi}^3_{(1)_1}u_{(0,1)_1}u'_{(1)_1})(S^{-1}(F^1(q_\delta^1)_1\overline{\Psi}^3_{(-1)_1}u_{(0,-1)_1}u'_{(-1)_1}g^1)\\
&\quad \times\overline{\Psi}^2 u_{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(f^1\overline{\Psi}^4 u_{(1)})(q_\delta^3)_2\overline{\Psi}^3_{(1)_2}u_{(0,1)_2}u'_{(1)_2}\\
&\overset{(4.7)}{=} q_\delta^2(Q_\delta^2)_{(0)}\Psi^3 u_{(0,0)}u'_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta (S^{-1}(q_\delta^1(Q_\delta^2)_{(-1)}\Psi^2 u_{(0,-1)_2}u'_{(-1)_2}g^2)\cdot\varphi\\
&\quad \cdot q_\delta^3(Q_\delta^2)_{(1)}\Psi^4 u_{(0,1)_1}u'_{(1)_1})(S^{-1}(Q_\delta^1\Psi^1 u_{(0,-1)_1}u'_{(-1)_1}g^1)u_{(-1)}\cdot\varphi'\\
&\quad \cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)})Q_\delta^3\Psi^5 u_{(0,1)_2}u'_{(1)_2})\\
&\overset{(4.10,3.2)}{=} q_\delta^2 u_{(0)}\overline{\Psi}^3 u'_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta (S^{-1}(q_\delta^1 q_L^2 u_{(-1)_{(2,2)}}\overline{\Psi}^2_2 u'_{(-1)_2}g^2)\\
&\quad \cdot\varphi \cdot q_\delta^3 q_R^1 u_{(1)_{(1,1)}}\overline{\Psi}^4_1 u'_{(1)_1})(S^{-1}(q_L^1 u_{(-1)_{(2,1)}}\overline{\Psi}^2_1 u'_{(-1)_1}g^1)u_{(-1)_1}\overline{\Psi}^1\cdot\varphi'\\
&\quad \cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)_2}\overline{\Psi}^5)q_R^2 u_{(1)_{(1,2)}}\overline{\Psi}^4_2 u'_{(1)_2})\\
&\overset{(4.11,4.12,4.10)}{=} q_\delta^2 u_{(0)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(0)}\Psi^3 u'_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta (S^{-1}(q_\delta^1 u_{(-1)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(-1)}\Psi^2 u'_{(-1)_2}g^2)\cdot\varphi\\
&\quad \cdot q_\delta^3 u_{(1)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(1)}\Psi^4 u'_{(1)_1})(S^{-1}(Q_\delta^1\Psi^1 u'_{(-1)_1}g^1)\cdot\varphi'\cdot Q_\delta^3\Psi^5 u'_{(1)_2})\\
&\overset{(3.1,3.8)}{=} q_\delta^2 u_{(0)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(0)}u'_{(0,0)}\Omega'^3 \bowtie_\delta (\Omega'^2 S^{-1}(q_\delta^1 u_{(-1)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(-1)}u'_{(0,-1)})\cdot\varphi\\
&\quad \cdot q_\delta^3 u_{(1)}(Q_\delta^2)_{(1)}u'_{(0,1)}\Omega'^4)(\Omega'^1 S^{-1}(Q_\delta^1 u'_{(-1)})\cdot\varphi'\cdot Q_\delta^3 u'_{(1)}\Omega'^5)\\
&\overset{(3.10)}{=} (q_\delta^2 u_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta S^{-1}(q_\delta^1 u_{(-1)})\cdot\varphi\cdot q_\delta^3 u_{(1)})\\
&\quad \times(Q_\delta^2 u'_{(0)} \bowtie_\delta S^{-1}(Q_\delta^1 u'_{(-1)})\cdot\varphi'\cdot Q_\delta^3 u'_{(1)}) = \vartheta(\varphi \bowtie_\delta u)\vartheta(\varphi' \bowtie_\delta u'),
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. (We denoted by $Q_\delta^1 \otimes Q_\delta^2 \otimes Q_\delta^3$ another copy of q_δ and by $F^1 \otimes F^2$ another copy of f).

It is easy to see that the unit and counit properties imply $\vartheta(1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathbb{A}}) = 1_{\mathbb{A}} \bowtie_\delta 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, so it remains to show that ϑ is bijective. To this end, define $\vartheta^{-1} : \mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}$ given for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\vartheta^{-1}(u \bowtie_\delta \varphi) = u_{(-1)}p_\delta^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(u_{(1)}p_\delta^3) \bowtie_\delta u_{(0)}p_\delta^2,$$

where $p_\delta = p^1_\delta \otimes p^2_\delta \otimes p^3_\delta$ is the element defined in (4.3).

We claim that ϑ and ϑ^{-1} are inverses. Indeed, $\vartheta \circ \vartheta^{-1} = id_{\mathbb{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathcal{A}}$ because of (4.6) and (4.9), and $\vartheta \circ \vartheta^{-1} = id_{\mathcal{A} \bowtie_\delta \mathbb{A}}$ because of (4.5) and (4.8) (we leave the verification of the details to the reader). □

5. Generalized two-sided crossed product and two-sided generalized smash product

Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-bialgebra and $(\mathfrak{A}, \rho, \Phi_\rho)$,$(\mathfrak{B}, \lambda, \Phi_\lambda)$ a right and a left H-comodule algebra, respectively. As in the case of a bialgebra, the right H-coaction (ρ, Φ_ρ) on $\mathfrak{A}$ induces a left H^*-action $\triangleright :\ H^* \otimes \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ defined by

$$\varphi \triangleright \mathfrak{a} = \varphi(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1 \rangle})\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0 \rangle}, \tag{5.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$, where $\rho(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1 \rangle}$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Similarly, the left H-coaction (λ, Φ_λ) on $\mathfrak{B}$ provides a right H^*-action $\triangleleft : \mathfrak{B} \otimes H^* \to \mathfrak{B}$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{b} \triangleleft \varphi = \varphi(\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]})\mathfrak{b}_{[0]}, \tag{5.2}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$, where we now denote $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \otimes \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}$ for all $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Following [7, Proposition 11.4 (ii)] we define an algebra structure on the k-vector space $\mathfrak{A} \otimes H^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}$. This algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ and its multiplication is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}' \rtimes \varphi' \ltimes \mathfrak{b}') \\ = \ \ & \mathfrak{a}(\varphi_1 \triangleright \mathfrak{a}')\tilde{x}^1_\rho \rtimes (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \rightharpoonup \varphi_2 \leftharpoonup \tilde{x}^2_\rho)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \rightharpoonup \varphi'_1 \leftharpoonup \tilde{x}^3_\rho) \ltimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda(\mathfrak{b} \triangleleft \varphi'_2)\mathfrak{b}', \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\varphi, \varphi' \in H^*$, where we write $\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b}$ for $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \varphi \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ when viewed as an element of $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$. The unit of the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ is $1_\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \varepsilon \ltimes 1_\mathfrak{B}$. Hausser and Nill [7] called this algebra the two-sided crossed product. They proved that $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra (here $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}$ is trivial) and the diagonal crossed product $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \bowtie H^*$ is isomorphic, as an algebra, to the two-sided crossed product $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$.

This construction admits a slight generalization, as follows. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H-comodule algebra, $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. On $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ define a multiplication by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}' \rtimes \varphi' \ltimes \mathfrak{b}') \\ = \ \ & \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0 \rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho \rtimes (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1 \rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot \tilde{x}^3_\rho) \ltimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}', \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$, where we write $\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b}$ for $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \varphi \otimes \mathfrak{b}$. Then one can prove by a direct computation that this multiplication yields an associative algebra with unit $1_\mathfrak{A} \rtimes 1_\mathcal{A} \ltimes 1_\mathfrak{B}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ and called the generalized two-sided crossed product. It is obvious that for H finite dimensional and $\mathcal{A} = H^*$ we recover the two-sided crossed product $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes H^* \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ of Hausser and Nill.

We construct now a different kind of two-sided product, using "dual" objects, that is by replacing comodule algebras by module algebras and the bimodule algebra by a bicomodule algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra, B a right H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. If we define on $A \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes B$ a* *multiplication, by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b')\\
&\quad= (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot a')\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u_{[0]}\theta^2 u'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b\cdot\theta^3 u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $b, b' \in B$ (where we write $a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b$ for $a\otimes u\otimes b$), and we denote this structure on $A\otimes\mathbb{A}\otimes B$ by $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$, then $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ is an associative algebra with unit $1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<1_{\mathbb{A}} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_B$.

Proof. For all $a, a', a'' \in A$, $u, u', u'' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $b, b', b'' \in B$ we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b')](a''\blacktriangleright\!\!<u'' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b'')\\
\overset{(5.5)}{=}\;&\{\tilde{y}^1_\lambda\cdot[(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot a')]\}[\tilde{y}^2_\lambda(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{[-1]}u_{[0,-1]}\theta^2_{[-1]}\\
&\times u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'']\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{[0]}u_{[0,0]}\theta^2_{[0]}u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2\\
&\times u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft \{[(b\cdot\theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho)]\cdot\overline{\theta}^3u''_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{y}^2_\rho\}(b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho)\\
\overset{(2.18,2.23,2.17,2.22)}{=}\;&[(X^1(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda)_1\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a]\{[X^2(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda)_2\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot a'][X^3\tilde{y}^2_\lambda(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{[-1]}u_{[0,-1]}\\
&\times\theta^2_{[-1]}u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'']\}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{[0]}u_{[0,0]}\theta^2_{[0]}u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho\\
&>\!\!\blacktriangleleft [b\cdot\theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_1u''_{\langle 1\rangle_1}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_1x^1]\{[(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_2u''_{\langle 1\rangle_2}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_2x^2](b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho x^3)\}\\
\overset{(2.31)}{=}\;&(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda\cdot a)\{[(\tilde{y}^2_\lambda)_1\tilde{x}^1_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot a'][(\tilde{y}^2_\lambda)_2\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[0,-1]}\theta^2_{[-1]}u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\\
&\times(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'']\}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u_{[0,0]}\theta^2_{[0]}u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho\\
&>\!\!\blacktriangleleft [b\cdot\theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_1u''_{\langle 1\rangle_1}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_1x^1]\{[b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_2u''_{\langle 1\rangle_2}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_2x^2](b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho x^3)\}\\
\overset{(2.30,2.44,2.18)}{=}\;&(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda\cdot a)\{\tilde{y}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot[(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a')(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\Theta^1u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'')]\}\\
&\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda u_{[0]}\theta^2(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}\Theta^2u'_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft [b\cdot\theta^3(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}\\
&\times\Theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_1u''_{\langle 1\rangle_1}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho))_1x^1]\{[b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho\overline{\theta}^3_2u''_{\langle 1\rangle_2}(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_2x^2](b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho x^3)\}\\
\overset{(2.43,2.45,2.26)}{=}\;&(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda\cdot a)\{\tilde{y}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot[((\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a')(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u'_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'')]\}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda u_{[0]}\theta^2\\
&\times(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 0\rangle}u'_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\overline{\theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle}u''_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho\tilde{y}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft [b\cdot\theta^3(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)_{\langle 1\rangle}u'_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}\overline{\theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\\
&\times u''_{\langle 0,1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_1x^1]\{[b'\cdot\overline{\theta}^3u''_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^3_\rho(\tilde{y}^2_\rho)_2x^2](b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho x^3)\}\\
\overset{(2.27,2.23)}{=}\;&(\tilde{y}^1_\lambda\cdot a)\{\tilde{y}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot[(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a')(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u'_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'')]\}\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{y}^3_\lambda u_{[0]}\theta^2\\
&\times(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u'_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft [b\cdot\theta^3(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u'_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho]\\
&\times\{[(b'\cdot\overline{\theta}^3u''_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{y}^2_\rho)(b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho)]\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho\}\\
\overset{(5.5)}{=}\;&(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)[(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a')(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\overline{\theta}^1\cdot a'')\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u'_{[0]}\overline{\theta}^2u''_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{y}^1_\rho\\
&>\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b'\cdot\overline{\theta}^3u''_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{y}^2_\rho)(b''\cdot\tilde{y}^3_\rho)]\\
\overset{(5.5)}{=}\;&(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)[(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b')(a''\blacktriangleright\!\!<u'' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b'')].
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by (2.28), (2.29), (2.32), (2.33) and (2.46) it follows that $1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<1_{\mathbb{A}} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_B$ is the unit of $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$. □

Remarks 5.2. (i) The generalized two-sided crossed product $\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{B}$ cannot be particularized for $\mathfrak{A} = k$ or $\mathfrak{B} = k$ because, in general, k is not a right or left

H-comodule algebra (in fact, we can do that if and only if we work with a quasi-Hopf algebra which is a twisted Hopf algebra, i.e. it is of the form H_F where H is an ordinary Hopf algebra and $F \in H \otimes H$ is a twist on H). For the algebra $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$, we can take $A = k$ or $B = k$. In these cases we obtain the right or left generalized smash products $\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ and $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}$, respectively. For this reason we call the algebra $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ the two-sided generalized smash product. Note that, in the Hopf case, the multiplication of $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ is given by

$$(a \blacktriangleright\!\!< u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)(a' \blacktriangleright\!\!< u' >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b') = a(u_{[-1]} \cdot a') \blacktriangleright\!\!< u_{[0]} u'_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b \cdot u'_{\langle 1\rangle})b',$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $b, b' \in B$.

(ii) Let $\mathbb{A} = H$. In this particular case we will denote the algebra $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< H >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ by $A\#H\#B$ (the elements will be written $a\#h\#b$, $a \in A$, $h \in H$, $b \in B$) and will call it the two-sided smash product. Our terminology is based on the fact that when we take $A = k$ or $B = k$ the resulting algebra is the right or left smash product algebra. Note that the multiplication of $A\#H\#B$ is defined by

$$(a\#h\#b)(a'\#h'\#b') = (x^1 \cdot a)(x^2 h_1 y^1 \cdot a')\#x^3 h_2 y^2 h'_1 z^1 \#(b \cdot y^3 h'_2 z^2)(b' \cdot z^3),$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $h, h' \in H$ and $b, b' \in B$. It follows that the canonical maps $i : A\#H \to A\#H\#B$ and $j : H\#B \to A\#H\#B$, $i(a\#h) = a\#h\#1_B$ and $j(h\#b) = 1_A\#h\#b$, are algebra morphisms.

In the Hopf case the multiplication of the two-sided smash product is defined by

$$(a\#h\#b)(a'\#h'\#b') = a(h_1 \cdot a')\#h_2 h'_1 \#(b \cdot h'_2)b'.$$

6. Two-sided products vs generalized diagonal crossed products

As mentioned before, Hausser and Nill proved that a two-sided crossed product over a quasi-Hopf algebra is isomorphic to a right diagonal crossed product. We prove now that a generalized two-sided crossed product is isomorphic to a left generalized diagonal crossed product. Namely, let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra, $(\mathfrak{A}, \rho, \Phi_\rho)$ a right H-comodule algebra and $(\mathfrak{B}, \lambda, \Phi_\lambda)$ a left H-comodule algebra. Then, by [7], $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ becomes an H-bicomodule algebra, with the following structure: $\rho(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) = (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}$, $\lambda(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \otimes (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}_{[0]})$, $\Phi_\rho = (\tilde{X}^1_\rho \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}}) \otimes \tilde{X}^2_\rho \otimes \tilde{X}^3_\rho$, $\Phi_\lambda = \tilde{X}^1_\lambda \otimes \tilde{X}^2_\lambda \otimes (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes \tilde{X}^3_\lambda)$, $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} = 1_H \otimes (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}}) \otimes 1_H$, for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Proposition 6.1. *If H is a quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ are as above, then the generalized two-sided crossed product $\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{B}$ is isomorphic as an algebra to the generalized left diagonal crossed product $\mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.*

Proof. Define the map $\nu : \mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{B} \to \mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$,

$$\nu(\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b}) = \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \mathfrak{b}),$$

for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{p}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34). We prove that ν is an algebra map. For $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu((\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}' \rtimes \varphi' \ltimes \mathfrak{b}')) \\
&\quad = \quad \nu(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{x}^1_\rho \rtimes (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda b_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot \tilde{x}^3_\rho) \ltimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]} \mathfrak{b}')
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= && [(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda b_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot \tilde{x}^3_\rho)] \cdot S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,1\rangle}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \\
& && \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,0\rangle}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}') \\
&\overset{(2.50,2.11)}{=} && [\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho S^{-1}(g^2)S^{-1}((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle_2}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)_2)S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle_2}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,1\rangle_2})S^{-1}(f^2)] \\
& && [\tilde{x}^2_\lambda b_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot \tilde{x}^3_\rho S^{-1}(g^1)S^{-1}((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle_1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)_1)S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle_1}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,1\rangle_1})S^{-1}(f^1)] \\
& && \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,0\rangle}(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}') \\
&\overset{(2.39,2.26)}{=} && [\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,1\rangle})S^{-1}(f^2 a_{\langle 1\rangle_2}\tilde{X}^3_\rho)] \\
& && [\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(f^1 a_{\langle 1\rangle_1}\tilde{X}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,0,1\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{P}^2_\rho)] \\
& && \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{X}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0,0,0\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}') \\
&\overset{(2.26,2.35)}{=} && [\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)] \\
& && [\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,1\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{P}^2_\rho)] \\
& && \bowtie (\tilde{X}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,0\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}'),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{P}^2_\rho$ is another copy of $\tilde{p}_\rho$.

On the other hand, we have seen in Remark 4.8 that for the bicomodule algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ we have $\Omega = \omega$ and the multiplications in $\mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ and $\mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ coincide. One can check that $\omega \in H^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \otimes H^{\otimes 2}$ for $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ is obtained by

$$
\omega = \tilde{x}^1_\lambda \otimes \tilde{x}^2_\lambda \otimes (\tilde{X}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda) \otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho) \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho), \tag{6.1}
$$

and then we compute (using the formula for $\blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nu(\mathfrak{a} >\!\!\!\triangleleft\, \varphi \,\triangleright\!\!\!< \mathfrak{b})\nu(\mathfrak{a}' >\!\!\!\triangleleft\, \varphi' \,\triangleright\!\!\!< \mathfrak{b}') \\
&\quad = \quad [\varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \mathfrak{b})][\varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{P}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \mathfrak{b}')] \\
&\overset{(3.22,6.1)}{=} \quad [\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)][\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,1\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{P}^2_\rho)] \\
&\qquad\qquad \bowtie (\tilde{X}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,0\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}'),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence ν is multiplicative. It obviously satisfies $\nu(1_{\mathfrak{A}} >\!\!\!\triangleleft\, 1_{\mathcal{A}} \,\triangleright\!\!\!< 1_{\mathfrak{B}}) = 1_{\mathcal{A}} \bowtie (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}})$, hence it is an algebra map.

We prove now that ν is bijective. Define $\nu^{-1} : \mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \to \mathfrak{A} >\!\!\!\triangleleft\, \mathcal{A} \,\triangleright\!\!\!< \mathfrak{B}$,

$$
\nu^{-1}(\varphi \bowtie (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b})) = \tilde{q}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\!\triangleleft\, \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle} \,\triangleright\!\!\!< \mathfrak{b},
$$

for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\tilde{q}_\rho = \tilde{q}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{q}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34). We claim that ν and ν^{-1} are inverses. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nu\nu^{-1}(\varphi \bowtie (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b})) \\
&\quad = \quad \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{<1>}S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,1\rangle})S^{-1}((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}) \bowtie ((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \\
&\overset{(2.35)}{=} \quad \varphi \cdot \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)S^{-1}((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}) \bowtie ((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}) \\
&\overset{(2.37)}{=} \quad \varphi \bowtie (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu^{-1}\nu(\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b}) \\
&\quad = \quad \tilde{q}^1_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,0\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} \rtimes \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\langle 1\rangle})\tilde{q}^2_\rho \mathfrak{a}_{\langle 0,1\rangle}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} \ltimes \mathfrak{b} \\
&\quad \overset{(2.36)}{=} \quad \mathfrak{a}\tilde{q}^1_\rho(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} \rtimes \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\tilde{q}^2_\rho(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} \ltimes \mathfrak{b} \\
&\quad \overset{(2.38)}{=} \quad \mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b},
\end{aligned}$$

and this finishes the proof. □

Remark 6.2. Let H, $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ be as above and consider the map Γ as in Proposition 3.8, with $\mathbb{A}$ taken to be $\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B}$. Then, due to the particular structure of $\mathbb{A}$, the map $\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B})$ is given by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}}),$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\tilde{p}_\rho$ is the one corresponding to $\mathfrak{A}$. Then, using this formula and (3.21), one verifies that the isomorphism ν from Proposition 6.1 reduces to

$$\nu(\mathfrak{a} \rtimes \varphi \ltimes \mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{a}\Gamma(\varphi)\mathfrak{b}, \ \ \forall \ \ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}, \ \ \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}, \ \ \varphi \in \mathcal{A},$$

where we suppressed the embeddings of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ into $\mathcal{A} \bowtie (\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B})$ (this generalizes [7], Proposition 11.4).

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra and B a right H-module algebra. Then $A \otimes B$ becomes an H-bimodule algebra via the H-actions

$$h \cdot (a \otimes b) \cdot h' = h \cdot a \otimes b \cdot h', \quad \forall \ a \in A, \ h, h' \in H, \ b \in B. \tag{6.2}$$

Proposition 6.3. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, A a left H-module algebra, B a right H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then the two-sided generalized smash product $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ is isomorphic as an algebra to the generalized diagonal crossed product $(A \otimes B) \bowtie \mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. Define $\mu : (A \otimes B) \bowtie \mathbb{A} \to A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$,

$$\mu((a \otimes b) \bowtie u) = \Theta^1 \cdot a \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{q}^1_\rho \Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle} u_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b \cdot S^{-1}(\Theta^3)\tilde{q}^2_\rho \Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle} u_{\langle 1\rangle}, \tag{6.3}$$

for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $u \in \mathbb{A}$, where $\tilde{q}_\rho = \tilde{q}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{q}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34). We will prove that μ is an algebra isomorphism. First, observe that the multiplication of $(A \otimes B) \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&((a \otimes b) \bowtie u)((a' \otimes b') \bowtie u') \\
&\qquad = [(\Omega^1 \cdot a)(\Omega^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \cdot a') \otimes (b \cdot \Omega^5)(b' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4)] \bowtie \Omega^3 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u',
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$. By using (4.1), (2.40), (2.44) and several times (2.36) and (2.26), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta^1_1\Omega^1 \otimes \Theta^1_2\Omega^2 \otimes \tilde{q}^1_\rho(\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes \Omega^5 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_1(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_1(\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 1\rangle_1} \otimes \Omega^4 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_2 \\
&\qquad \times(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_2(\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 1\rangle_2} = \tilde{x}^1_\lambda\Theta^1 \otimes \tilde{x}^2_\lambda\boldsymbol{\Theta}^1\Theta^2_{[-1]}\overline{\Theta}^1 \otimes \tilde{x}^3_\lambda\tilde{q}^1_\rho(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^2\Theta^2_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho\overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho \\
&\qquad\qquad \otimes S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^3\Theta^3)\tilde{q}^2_\rho(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^2\Theta^2_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho\overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho \otimes S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3)\tilde{Q}^2_\rho\overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^3_\rho,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where we denote by $\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{Q}^2_\rho$ another copy of $\tilde{q}_\rho$. On the other hand, by (2.26), (2.43) and (2.36) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\Theta}^1 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \otimes (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{x}^1_\rho u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}} u'_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_1}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_1}\\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle}) \tilde{Q}^2_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^3_\rho u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_2}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_2} = u_{[-1]}\overline{\Theta}^1 \otimes (u_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 0,0\rangle} \tilde{x}^1_\rho\\
&\quad \otimes (u_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 0,1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho \otimes S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3) \tilde{Q}^2_\rho (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^3_\rho,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

for all $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$. Finally, using (2.34), (2.45), (2.6) and (2.46), one checks that

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^1_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^3) \tilde{q}^2_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} = (\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\theta^1 \otimes (\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\theta^2 \otimes \tilde{q}^2_\rho \theta^3. \tag{6.7}$$

Now, for all $a, a' \in A$, $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $b, b' \in B$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(((a \otimes b) \bowtie u)((a' \otimes b') \bowtie u'))\\
&\overset{(6.4,6.3)}{=} (\Theta^1_1 \Omega^1 \cdot a)(\Theta^1_2 \Omega^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \cdot a') \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{q}^1_\rho (\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 0\rangle} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}} u'_{\langle 0\rangle}\\
&\qquad >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b \cdot \Omega^5 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_1 (\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_1 (\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 1\rangle_1} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_1}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_1})\\
&\qquad (b' \cdot S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle}) \Omega^4 S^{-1}(\Theta^3)_2 (\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_2 (\Theta^2\Omega^3)_{\langle 1\rangle_2} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_2}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_2})\\
&\overset{(6.5)}{=} (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \Theta^1 \cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \boldsymbol{\Theta}^1 \Theta^2_{[-1]} \overline{\Theta}^1 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \cdot a') \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \tilde{q}^1_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle} \Theta^2_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}} (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 0\rangle}\\
&\qquad \tilde{x}^1_\rho u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}} u'_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b \cdot S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^3 \Theta^3) \tilde{q}^2_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} \Theta^2_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}} (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle})_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho\\
&\qquad u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_1}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_1})(b' \cdot S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle}) \tilde{Q}^2_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^3_\rho u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle_2}}} u'_{\langle 1\rangle_2})\\
&\overset{(6.6)}{=} (\tilde{x}^1_\lambda \Theta^1 \cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda \boldsymbol{\Theta}^1 \Theta^2_{[-1]} u_{[-1]} \overline{\Theta}^1 \cdot a') \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \tilde{q}^1_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle} \Theta^2_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}} (u_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\\
&\qquad (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 0,0\rangle} \tilde{x}^1_\rho >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (b \cdot S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^3 \Theta^3) \tilde{q}^2_\rho \boldsymbol{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} \Theta^2_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}} (u_{[0]}\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 0,1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho)\\
&\qquad (b' \cdot S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3) \tilde{Q}^2_\rho (\overline{\Theta}^2 u')_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^3_\rho)\\
&\overset{(6.7,5.5,2.43)}{=} (\Theta^1 \cdot a \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{q}^1_\rho \Theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle} u_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b \cdot S^{-1}(\Theta^3) \tilde{q}^2_\rho \Theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle} u_{\langle 1\rangle})\\
&\qquad (\overline{\Theta}^1 \cdot a' \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{Q}^1_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 0\rangle} u'_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b' \cdot S^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}^3) \tilde{Q}^2_\rho \overline{\Theta}^2_{\langle 1\rangle} u'_{\langle 1\rangle})\\
&\overset{(6.3)}{=} \mu((a \otimes b) \bowtie u)\mu((a' \otimes b') \bowtie u'),
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. The (co) unit axioms imply $\mu((1_A \otimes 1_B) \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}}) = 1_A \blacktriangleright\!\!< 1_{\mathbb{A}} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_B$, so it remains to show that μ is bijective. To this end, define $\mu^{-1} : A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B \to (A \otimes B) \bowtie \mathbb{A}$,

$$\mu^{-1}(a \blacktriangleright\!\!< u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b) = (\theta^1 \cdot a \otimes b \cdot S^{-1}(\theta^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{p}^2_\rho)) \bowtie \theta^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{p}^1_\rho, \tag{6.8}$$

for all $a \in A$, $u \in \mathbb{A}$ and $b \in B$, where $\tilde{p}_\rho = \tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{p}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34). We show that μ and μ^{-1} are inverses. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu\mu^{-1}(a \blacktriangleright\!\!< u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)\\
&\overset{(6.8,6.3)}{=} a \blacktriangleright\!\!< \tilde{q}^1_\rho u_{\langle 0,0\rangle} (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b \cdot S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{p}^2_\rho) \tilde{q}^2_\rho u_{\langle 0,1\rangle} (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\\
&\overset{(2.36,2.38)}{=} a \blacktriangleright\!\!< u >\!\!\blacktriangleleft b,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$, $u \in \mathbb{A}$, $b \in B$, and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu^{-1}\mu((a \otimes b) \bowtie u) \\
&\overset{(6.3,6.8)}{=} \quad [\theta^1\Theta^1 \cdot a \otimes b \cdot S^{-1}(\Theta^3)\tilde{q}^2_\rho(\Theta^2 u)_{\langle 1\rangle} S^{-1}(\theta^3(\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}(\Theta^2 u)_{\langle 0,1\rangle})\tilde{p}^2_\rho)] \\
&\qquad\qquad \bowtie \theta^2(\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}(\Theta^2 u)_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \\
&\overset{(2.35,2.37)}{=} \quad (a \otimes b) \bowtie u,
\end{aligned}$$

and this finishes our proof. □

As a consequence of the two propositions, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 6.4. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, A a left H-module algebra, B a right H-module algebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H-comodule algebra and $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra. Then we have algebra isomorphisms*

$$A \blacktriangleright\!\!<(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B \simeq (A \otimes B) \bowtie (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \simeq \mathfrak{A} \rtimes (A \otimes B) \ltimes \mathfrak{B}.$$

7. Invariance under twisting

In this section we prove that the generalized diagonal crossed products and the two-sided smash products are, in certain senses, invariant under twisting (such a result has also been proved by Hausser and Nill in [7] for their diagonal crossed products, with a different method, and by the authors in [2] for smash products).

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $F \in H \otimes H$ a gauge transformation. If we introduce on A another multiplication, by $a \diamond a' = (G^1 \cdot a)(G^2 \cdot a')$ for all $a, a' \in A$, where $F^{-1} = G^1 \otimes G^2$, and denote by $A_{F^{-1}}$ this structure, then, as in [2], one can prove that $A_{F^{-1}}$ becomes a left H_F-module algebra, with the same unit and H-action as for A. If we introduce on $\mathcal{A}$ another multiplication, by $\varphi \circ \varphi' = (G^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot F^1)(G^2 \cdot \varphi' \cdot F^2)$ for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$, and denote this by ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$, then ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ is an H_F-bimodule algebra (for instance, if $\mathcal{A} = H^*$, then ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ is just $(H_F)^*$). Moreover, if we regard $\mathcal{A}$ as a left $H \otimes H^{op}$-module algebra and ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ as a left $H_F \otimes H_F^{op}$-module algebra, then ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}_{T^{-1}}$, where T is the gauge transformation on $H \otimes H^{op}$ given by $T = (F^1 \otimes G^1) \otimes (F^2 \otimes G^2)$, and using the identification $H_F \otimes (H_F)^{op} \equiv (H \otimes H^{op})_T$.

Suppose that we have also a left H-comodule algebra $\mathfrak{B}$; then, by [7], on the algebra structure of $\mathfrak{B}$ one can introduce a left H_F-comodule algebra structure (denoted in what follows by $\mathfrak{B}^{F^{-1}}$) by putting $\lambda^{F^{-1}} = \lambda$ and $\Phi_\lambda^{F^{-1}} = \Phi_\lambda(F^{-1} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{B}})$.

Proposition 7.1. *With notation as above, we have an algebra isomorphism*

$$A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathfrak{B} \equiv A_{F^{-1}} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathfrak{B}^{F^{-1}},$$

obtained from the trivial identification.

Proof. Check directly that the multiplication in $A_{F^{-1}} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathfrak{B}^{F^{-1}}$ coincides, via the trivial identification, with the one in $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathfrak{B}$. □

Similarly, if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a right H-comodule algebra, by [7] one can introduce on the algebra structure of $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H_F-comodule algebra structure (denoted by ${}^F\mathfrak{A}$) by putting ${}^F\rho = \rho$ and ${}^F\Phi_\rho = (1_{\mathfrak{A}} \otimes F)\Phi_\rho$.

Also, one can check that if $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, the left and right H_F-comodule algebras $\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}}$ and ${}^F\mathbb{A}$ actually define the structure of an H_F-bicomodule algebra on $\mathbb{A}$, denoted by ${}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}}$, which has the same $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho}$ as $\mathbb{A}$.

Suppose now that H is a quasi-Hopf algebra. Transforming this H_F-bicomodule algebra ${}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}}$, as in a previous section, into the two left $H_F \otimes H_F^{op}$-comodule algebras $({}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}})_1$ and $({}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}})_2$, by using the identification $H_F \otimes H_F^{op} \equiv (H \otimes H^{op})_T$ as before and the fact, observed in [7], that the Drinfeld twist f_F on H_F depends on the one on H by the formula $f_F = (S \otimes S)(F_{21}^{-1})fF^{-1}$, we may obtain algebra isomorphisms

$$({}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}})_1 \equiv (\mathbb{A}_1)^{T^{-1}}, \ ({}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}})_2 \equiv (\mathbb{A}_2)^{T^{-1}},$$

defined by the trivial identifications.

As a consequence, using the expressions of the generalized left diagonal crossed products as generalized smash products, we obtain the following result:

Proposition 7.2. *With notation as before, the algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathbb{A} \equiv {}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}} \bowtie {}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}}, \ \mathcal{A} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft \mathbb{A} \equiv {}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}} \blacktriangleright\!\!\blacktriangleleft {}^F\mathbb{A}^{F^{-1}},$$

are defined by the trivial identifications.

Suppose again that H is a quasi-bialgebra, A is a left H-module algebra and $F \in H \otimes H$ is a gauge transformation. Suppose now that we also have a right H-module algebra B. If we introduce on B another multiplication, by $b \star b' = (b \cdot F^1)(b' \cdot F^2)$ for all $b, b' \in B$, denoting this structure by ${}_FB$, then ${}_FB$ becomes a right H_F-module algebra with the same unit and right H-action as for B. So, we have the following type of invariance under twisting for two-sided smash products:

Proposition 7.3. *With notation as before, we have an algebra isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi : A\#H\#B \simeq A_{F^{-1}}\#H_F\#_FB, \\ &\varphi(a\#h\#b) = F^1 \cdot a\#F^2hG^1\#b \cdot G^2, \ \forall\, a \in A, \ h \in H, \ b \in B. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by taking $B = k$ or respectively $A = k$, we have algebra isomorphisms

$$A\#H \simeq A_{F^{-1}}\#H_F, \ H\#B \simeq H_F\#_FB.$$

Proof. Follows by a direct computation, similar to the one in [2]. $\square$

8. Iterated products

It was proved in [2] that, if H is a quasi-bialgebra and A is a left H-module algebra, then $A\#H$ becomes a right H-comodule algebra, with structure:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho : A\#H \to (A\#H) \otimes H, \ \rho(a\#h) = (x^1 \cdot a\#x^2h_1) \otimes x^3h_2, \ \ \forall\, a \in A, h \in H, \\ &\Phi_\rho = (1_A\#X^1) \otimes X^2 \otimes X^3 \in (A\#H) \otimes H \otimes H. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can prove that if B is a right H-module algebra, then $H\#B$ becomes a left H-comodule algebra, with structure:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda : H\#B \to H \otimes (H\#B), \ \lambda(h\#b) = h_1x^1 \otimes (h_2x^2\#b \cdot x^3), \ \ \forall\, h \in H, b \in B, \\ &\Phi_\lambda = X^1 \otimes X^2 \otimes (X^3\#1_B) \in H \otimes H \otimes (H\#B). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we need some more general results, that we are stating now (the proof is similar to the one in [2]).

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}$ becomes a right H-comodule algebra, with structure defined for all $a\in A$ and $u\in\mathbb{A}$ by:

$$\rho: A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}\to(A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A})\otimes H,\ \ \rho(a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u)=(\theta^1\cdot a\blacktriangleright\!\!<\theta^2u_{\langle 0\rangle})\otimes\theta^3u_{\langle 1\rangle},$$
$$\Phi_\rho=(1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{X}^1_\rho)\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^3_\rho\in(A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A})\otimes H\otimes H.$$

Similarly, let H be a quasi-bialgebra, B a right H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Then $\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ becomes a left H-comodule algebra, with structure defined for all $u\in\mathbb{A}$ and $b\in B$ by:

$$\lambda:\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B\to H\otimes(\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B),\ \ \lambda(u>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)=u_{[-1]}\theta^1\otimes(u_{[0]}\theta^2>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft b\cdot\theta^3),$$
$$\Phi_\lambda=\tilde{X}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\lambda\otimes(\tilde{X}^3_\lambda>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft 1_B)\in H\otimes H\otimes(\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B).$$

We are now ready to prove that the two-sided generalized smash product can be written (in two ways) as an iterated generalized smash product.

Proposition 8.1. *Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra, B a right H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. Consider the right and left H-comodule algebras $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$ as above. Then we have algebra isomorphisms*

$$A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B\equiv(A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A})>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B,\ \ A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B\equiv A\blacktriangleright\!\!<(\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B),$$

given by the trivial identifications. In particular, we have

$$A\#H\#B\equiv(A\#H)>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B,\ \ A\#H\#B\equiv A\blacktriangleright\!\!<(H\#B).$$

Proof. We will prove the first isomorphism, the second is similar. We compute the multiplication in $(A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A})>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$. For $a,a'\in A$, $b,b'\in B$ and $u,u'\in\mathbb{A}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&((a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u)>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft b)((a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u')>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft b')\\
&\quad=\ (a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u)(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u')_{\langle 0\rangle}(1_A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{x}^1_\rho)>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft(b\cdot(a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<u')_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho)\\
&\quad=\ (a\blacktriangleright\!\!<u)(\theta^1\cdot a'\blacktriangleright\!\!<\theta^2u'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho)>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft(b\cdot\theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho)\\
&\quad=\ ((\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot a)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda u_{[-1]}\theta^1\cdot a')\blacktriangleright\!\!<\tilde{x}^3_\lambda u_{[0]}\theta^2u'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho)>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft(b\cdot\theta^3u'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(b'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Via the trivial identification, this is exactly the multiplication of $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$. □

Recall from [4] the definition and properties of the so-called quasi-smash product, but in a more general form. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H-comodule algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Define a multiplication on $\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$ by

$$(8.1)\qquad(\mathfrak{a}\overline{\#}\varphi)(\mathfrak{a}'\overline{\#}\varphi')=\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{x}^1_\rho\overline{\#}(\varphi\cdot\mathfrak{a}'_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{x}^2_\rho)(\varphi'\cdot\tilde{x}^3_\rho),\ \forall\ \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}'\in\mathfrak{A},\varphi,\varphi'\in\mathcal{A},$$

where we write $\mathfrak{a}\ \overline{\#}\ \varphi$ for $\mathfrak{a}\otimes\varphi$, and denote this structure by $\mathfrak{A}\ \overline{\#}\ \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}\ \overline{\#}\ \mathcal{A}$ becomes a left H-module algebra with unit $1_{\mathfrak{A}}\ \overline{\#}\ 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and with left H-action

$$h\cdot(\mathfrak{a}\ \overline{\#}\ \varphi)=a\ \overline{\#}\ h\cdot\varphi,\ \forall\ \mathfrak{a}\in\mathfrak{A},h\in H,\varphi\in\mathcal{A}.$$

Note that for $\mathcal{A}=H^*$ we obtain the quasi-smash product $\mathfrak{A}\ \overline{\#}\ H^*$ from [4]. Also, by taking B a right H-module algebra and $\mathcal{A}=B$ as an H-bimodule algebra with trivial left H-action, $\mathfrak{A}\ \overline{\#}\ \mathcal{A}$ is exactly the generalized smash product $\mathfrak{A}>\!\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$.

We need the left-handed version of the above construction too. Namely, if H is a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra, define a multiplication on $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathfrak{B}$ by

$$(8.2)\quad(\varphi\ \overline{\#}\ \mathfrak{b})(\varphi'\ \overline{\#}\ \mathfrak{b}')=(\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\cdot\varphi)(\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]}\cdot\varphi')\ \overline{\#}\ \tilde{x}^3_\lambda\mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\mathfrak{b}',\ \forall\ \varphi,\varphi'\in\mathcal{A},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b}'\in\mathfrak{B},$$

where we write $\varphi\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{b}$ for $\varphi\otimes\mathfrak{b}$, and denote this structure by $\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{B}$. Then $\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{B}$ becomes a right H-module algebra with unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}\,\overline{\#}\,1_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and with right H-action

$$(\varphi\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{b})\cdot h=\varphi\cdot h\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{b},\ \forall\ \varphi\in\mathcal{A},h\in H,\mathfrak{b}\in\mathfrak{B}.$$

By taking A a left H-module algebra and $\mathcal{A}=A$ as an H-bimodule algebra with trivial right H-action, $\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{B}$ is exactly the generalized smash product $A\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B}$.

By [4], a two-sided crossed product may be written as a generalized smash product. We have a similar result for generalized two-sided crossed products, which allows us to write them (in two ways) as generalized smash products.

Proposition 8.2. *Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H-comodule algebra, $\mathfrak{B}$ a left H-comodule algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Consider the left and right H-module algebras $\mathfrak{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{B}$ as above. Then we have algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{B}\equiv(\mathfrak{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathcal{A})\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathfrak{B},\ \mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{B}\equiv\mathfrak{A}>\!\!\blacktriangleleft(\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{B}),$$

obtained from the trivial identifications.

Proof. Follows by direct computations. □

We now apply the above results. In [7], Hausser and Nill generalized to the setting of quasi-Hopf algebras some models of Hopf spin chains and lattice current algebras. The key result for this was the next Theorem, concerning iterated two-sided crossed products (with H finite dimensional and $\mathcal{A}=H^*$). The original proof of this theorem is quite difficult to read, being written in the formalism of universal intertwiners. Using our results, we are now able to obtain for free a conceptual proof of the Theorem, together with the explicit form of the structures that appear at (i) and (ii).

Theorem 8.3. (Hausser and Nill). *Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra, $\mathfrak{A}$ a right H-comodule algebra, $\mathbb{B}$ an H-bicomodule algebra and $\mathfrak{C}$ a left H-comodule algebra. Then:*

- (i) *$\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B}$ admits a right H-comodule algebra structure;*
- (ii) *$\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}$ admits a left H-comodule algebra structure;*
- (iii) *there is an algebra isomorphism (given by the trivial identification)*

$$(\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B})\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}\equiv\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes(\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}).$$

Proof. Writting $\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B}$ as $(\mathfrak{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathcal{A})\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{B}$, we obtain that this is a right H-comodule algebra (being a generalized smash product between a left H-module algebra and an H-bicomodule algebra), and we can write explicitly its structure:

$$\rho:\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B}\equiv(\mathfrak{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathcal{A})\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{B}\to((\mathfrak{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathcal{A})\blacktriangleright\!\!<\mathbb{B})\otimes H\equiv(\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B})\otimes H,$$

$$\rho(\mathfrak{a}\rtimes\varphi\ltimes\mathfrak{b})=(\mathfrak{a}\rtimes\theta^1\cdot\varphi\ltimes\theta^2\mathfrak{b}_{\langle0\rangle})\otimes\theta^3\mathfrak{b}_{\langle1\rangle},\ \forall\ \mathfrak{a}\in\mathfrak{A},\varphi\in\mathcal{A},\mathfrak{b}\in\mathbb{B},$$

$$\Phi_\rho=(1_{\mathfrak{A}}\rtimes1_{\mathcal{A}}\ltimes\tilde{X}^1_\rho)\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\rho\otimes\tilde{X}^3_\rho\in(\mathfrak{A}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathbb{B})\otimes H\otimes H.$$

Similarly, writing $\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}$ as $\mathbb{B}>\!\!\blacktriangleleft(\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{C})$, we obtain that this is a left H-comodule algebra, with structure:

$$\lambda:\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}\equiv\mathbb{B}>\!\!\blacktriangleleft(\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{C})\to H\otimes(\mathbb{B}>\!\!\blacktriangleleft(\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\#}\,\mathfrak{C}))\equiv H\otimes(\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}),$$

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{b}\rtimes\varphi\ltimes\mathfrak{c})=\mathfrak{b}_{[-1]}\theta^1\otimes(\mathfrak{b}_{[0]}\theta^2\rtimes\varphi\cdot\theta^3\ltimes\mathfrak{c}),\ \forall\ \mathfrak{b}\in\mathbb{B},\varphi\in\mathcal{A},\mathfrak{c}\in\mathfrak{C},$$

$$\Phi_\lambda=\tilde{X}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{X}^2_\lambda\otimes(\tilde{X}^3_\lambda\rtimes1_{\mathcal{A}}\ltimes1_{\mathfrak{C}})\in H\otimes H\otimes(\mathbb{B}\rtimes\mathcal{A}\ltimes\mathfrak{C}).$$

To prove (iii), we will use the identifications appearing in our results:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{C} \equiv ((\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B}) \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{C}\\ &\equiv ((\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C}) \equiv (\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{C}) \equiv \mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C}))\\ &\equiv (\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C})) \equiv (\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C}).\end{aligned}$$

So, we have proved that the two iterated generalized two-sided crossed products that appear in (iii) are both isomorphic as algebras (via the trivial identifications) to the two-sided generalized smash product $(\mathfrak{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathfrak{C})$. □

Using the same results, we obtain another relation between the generalized two-sided crossed product and the two-sided generalized smash product. More exactly, let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra, A a left H-module algebra, B a right H-module algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ two H-bicomodule algebras. As we have seen before, $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}$ (respectively $\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$) becomes a right (respectively left) H-comodule algebra, so we may consider the generalized two-sided crossed product $(A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}) \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)$. On the other hand, by the above Theorem of Hausser and Nill, $\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}$ becomes a right H-comodule algebra and a left H-comodule algebra, but actually, using the explicit formulae for its structures that we gave, one can prove that it is even an H-bicomodule algebra, with $\Phi_{\lambda,\rho} = 1_H \otimes (1_{\mathbb{A}} \rtimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} \ltimes 1_{\mathbb{B}}) \otimes 1_H$, so we may consider the two-sided generalized smash product $A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$.

Proposition 8.4. *We have an algebra isomorphism*

$$(A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}) \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B) \equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$$

obtained from the trivial identification. In particular, we have

$$(A\#H) \rtimes H^* \ltimes (H\#B) \equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (H \rtimes H^* \ltimes H) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B.$$

Proof. This may be proved by computing explicitly the multiplication rules in the two algebras and noting that they coincide. Alternatively, we provide a conceptual proof, by a sequence of identifications using the above results. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}&A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B \equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< ((\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)\\ &\equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (((\mathbb{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B) \equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< ((\mathbb{A} \,\overline{\#}\, \mathcal{A}) \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B))\\ &\equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)) \equiv A \blacktriangleright\!\!< (\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)))\\ &\equiv (A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)) \equiv (A \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathbb{A}) \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B),\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth and the fifth identities hold because the left H-comodule algebra structures on $(\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathbb{B}) >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B$, $\mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathcal{A} \ltimes (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B)$ and $\mathbb{A} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft (\mathcal{A} \,\overline{\#}\, (\mathbb{B} >\!\!\blacktriangleleft B))$ coincide (via the trivial identifications). □

9. H^*-Hopf bimodules

Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-bialgebra and A a left H-module algebra. Recall from [3] the category $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$, whose objects are vector spaces M, such that M is a right H^*-comodule (i.e. M is a left H-module, with action denoted by

$h\otimes m\mapsto h\triangleright m$) and A acts on M to the right (denote by $m\otimes a\mapsto m\cdot a$ this action) such that $m\cdot 1_A=m$ for all $m\in M$ and the following relations hold:

$$(m\cdot a)\cdot a'=(X^1\triangleright m)\cdot[(X^2\cdot a)(X^3\cdot a')], \tag{9.1}$$

$$h\triangleright(m\cdot a)=(h_1\triangleright m)\cdot(h_2\cdot a), \tag{9.2}$$

for all $a,a'\in A$, $m\in M$, $h\in H$. Similarly, the category ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{H^*}$ consists of vector spaces M, such that M is a right H^*-comodule and A acts on M to the left (denote by $a\otimes m\mapsto a\cdot m$ this action) such that $1_A\cdot m=m$ for all $m\in M$ and the following relations hold:

$$a\cdot(a'\cdot m)=[(x^1\cdot a)(x^2\cdot a')]\cdot(x^3\triangleright m), \tag{9.3}$$

$$h\triangleright(a\cdot m)=(h_1\cdot a)\cdot(h_2\triangleright m), \tag{9.4}$$

for all $a,a'\in A$, $m\in M$, $h\in H$. From the description of left modules over $A\#H$ in [2], it is clear that ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{H^*}\simeq{}_{A\#H}\mathcal{M}$. If H is a quasi-Hopf algebra, by [3] we have an isomorphism of categories $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}\simeq\mathcal{M}_{A\#H}$. In what follows we need a description of $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$ as a category of left modules over a right smash product.

Proposition 9.1. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and A a left H-module algebra. Define on A a new multiplication, by putting*

$$a\star a'=(g^1\cdot a')(g^2\cdot a),\ \ \forall\ \ a,a'\in A, \tag{9.5}$$

where $f^{-1}=g^1\otimes g^2$ is given by (2.14), and denote this new structure by $\overline{A}$. Then $\overline{A}$ becomes a right H-module algebra, with the same unit as A and right H-action given by $a\cdot h=S(h)\cdot a$, for all $a\in A$, $h\in H$.

Proof. A straightforward computation, using (2.11) and (2.16). □

Definition 9.2. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra and B a right H-module algebra. We say that M, a k-linear space, is a left H,B-module if

(i) M is a left H-module with action denoted by $h\otimes m\mapsto h\triangleright m$;
(ii) B acts weakly on M from the left, i.e. there exists a k-linear map $B\otimes M\to M$, denoted by $b\otimes m\mapsto b\cdot m$, such that $1_B\cdot m=m$ for all $m\in M$;
(iii) the following compatibility conditions hold:

$$b\cdot(b'\cdot m)=x^1\triangleright([(b\cdot x^2)(b'\cdot x^3)]\cdot m), \tag{9.6}$$

$$b\cdot(h\triangleright m)=h_1\triangleright[(b\cdot h_2)\cdot m], \tag{9.7}$$

for all $b,b'\in B$, $h\in H$, $m\in M$. The category of all left H,B-modules, morphisms being the H-linear maps that preserve the B-action, will be denoted by ${}_{H,B}\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 9.3. *If H, B are as above, then the categories ${}_{H,B}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}_{H\#B}\mathcal{M}$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism is given as follows. If $M\in{}_{H\#B}\mathcal{M}$, define $h\triangleright m=(h\#1)\cdot m$ and $b\cdot m=(1\#b)\cdot m$. Conversely, if $M\in{}_{H,B}\mathcal{M}$, define $(h\#b)\cdot m=h\triangleright(b\cdot m)$.*

Proof. Straightforward computation. □

Proposition 9.4. *If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and A is a left H-module algebra, then $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$ is isomorphic to ${}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$, where $\overline{A}$ is the right H-module algebra constructed in Proposition 9.1. The correspondence is given as follows (we fix $\{e_i\}$ a basis in H with $\{e^i\}$ a dual basis in H^*):*

- *If $M\in{}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$, then M becomes an object in $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$ with the following structures* *(we denote by $h\otimes m\mapsto h\triangleright m$ the left H-module structure of M and by $a\otimes m\mapsto a\star m$ the weak left $\overline{A}$-action on M arising from Proposition 9.3):*

$$M\to M\otimes H^*,\ \ m\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\triangleright m\otimes e^i,\ \ \forall\ m\in M,$$
$$M\otimes A\to M,\ \ m\otimes a\mapsto m\cdot a=q^1\triangleright((S(q^2)\cdot a)\star m),$$

where $q_R=q^1\otimes q^2=X^1\otimes S^{-1}(\alpha X^3)X^2\in H\otimes H$ (it is the element $\tilde{q}_\rho$ given by (2.34) corresponding to $\mathfrak{A}=H$).

- *Conversely, if $M\in\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$, denoting the H^*-comodule structure of M by $M\to M\otimes H^*$, $m\mapsto m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)}$, and the weak right A-action on M by $m\otimes a\mapsto ma$, then M becomes an object in ${}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$ with the following structures (again via Proposition 9.3): M is a left H-module with action $h\triangleright m=m_{(1)}(h)m_{(0)}$, and the weak left $\overline{A}$-action on M is given by*

$$a\rightarrow m=(p^1\triangleright m)(p^2\cdot a),\ \ \forall\ a\in\overline{A},\ m\in M,$$

where $p_R=p^1\otimes p^2=x^1\otimes x^2\beta S(x^3)\in H\otimes H$ (it is the element $\tilde{p}_\rho$ given by (2.34) corresponding to $\mathfrak{A}=H$).

Proof. Assume first that $M\in{}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$; then we have, by Propositions 9.3 and 9.1:

$$a\star(a'\star m)=x^1\triangleright([(g^1S(x^3)\cdot a')(g^2S(x^2)\cdot a)]\star m), \tag{9.8}$$
$$a\star(h\triangleright m)=h_1\triangleright[(S(h_2)\cdot a)\star m], \tag{9.9}$$

for all $a,a'\in A$, $h\in H$, $m\in M$. We have to prove that $M\in\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$. To prove (9.1), we compute (denoting by $Q^1\otimes Q^2$ another copy of q_R):

$$\begin{aligned}
(m\cdot a)\cdot a' &= Q^1\triangleright[(S(Q^2)\cdot a')\star(q^1\triangleright[(S(q^2)\cdot a)\star m])]\\
&\overset{(9.9)}{=} Q^1q^1_1\triangleright[(S(q^1_2)S(Q^2)\cdot a')\star((S(q^2)\cdot a)\star m)]\\
&\overset{(9.8)}{=} Q^1q^1_1x^1\triangleright[((g^1S(x^3)S(q^2)\cdot a)(g^2S(x^2)S(Q^2q^1_2)\cdot a'))\star m]\\
&\overset{(2.40)}{=} q^1X^1_1\triangleright[((g^1S(X^1_{(2,2)})S(q^2_2)f^1X^2\cdot a)\\
&\qquad (g^2S(X^1_{(2,1)})S(q^2_1)f^2X^3\cdot a'))\star m]\\
&\overset{(2.11)}{=} q^1X^1_1\triangleright[((S(q^2X^1_2)_1X^2\cdot a)(S(q^2X^1_2)_2X^3\cdot a'))\star m]\\
&= q^1X^1_1\triangleright[(S(q^2X^1_2)\cdot((X^2\cdot a)(X^3\cdot a')))\star m]\\
&= q^1\triangleright[X^1_1\triangleright[(S(X^1_2)\cdot(S(q^2)\cdot((X^2\cdot a)(X^3\cdot a'))))\star m]]\\
&\overset{(9.9)}{=} q^1\triangleright[(S(q^2)\cdot((X^2\cdot a)(X^3\cdot a')))\star(X^1\triangleright m)]\\
&= (X^1\triangleright m)\cdot((X^2\cdot a)(X^3\cdot a')),\ \ q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (9.2), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_1\triangleright m)\cdot(h_2\cdot a) &= q^1\triangleright((S(q^2)h_2\cdot a)\star(h_1\triangleright m))\\
&\overset{(9.9)}{=} q^1h_{(1,1)}\triangleright((S(h_{(1,2)})S(q^2)h_2\cdot a)\star m)\\
&\overset{(2.36)}{=} hq^1\triangleright((S(q^2)\cdot a)\star m)\\
&= h\triangleright(m\cdot a),\ \ q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously $m \cdot 1_A = m$, for all $m \in M$, hence indeed $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$.

Conversely, assume that $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$, that is

$$(ma)a' = (X^1 \triangleright m)[(X^2 \cdot a)(X^3 \cdot a')], \tag{9.10}$$

$$h \triangleright (ma) = (h_1 \triangleright m)(h_2 \cdot a), \tag{9.11}$$

for all $m \in M$, $a, a' \in A$, $h \in H$, and we have to prove that

$$a \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow m) = x^1 \triangleright ([(g^1 S(x^3) \cdot a')(g^2 S(x^2) \cdot a)] \rightarrow m), \tag{9.12}$$

$$a \rightarrow (h \triangleright m) = h_1 \triangleright [(S(h_2) \cdot a) \rightarrow m], \tag{9.13}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $h \in H$, $m \in M$.

To prove (9.12), we compute (denoting by $P^1 \otimes P^2$ another copy of p_R):

$$\begin{aligned}
a \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow m) &= (p^1 \triangleright [(P^1 \triangleright m)(P^2 \cdot a')])(p^2 \cdot a)\\
&\overset{(9.11)}{=} [(p_1^1 P^1 \triangleright m)(p_2^1 P^2 \cdot a')](p^2 \cdot a)\\
&\overset{(9.10)}{=} (X^1 p_1^1 P^1 \triangleright m)[(X^2 p_2^1 P^2 \cdot a')(X^3 p^2 \cdot a)]\\
&\overset{(2.39)}{=} (x_1^1 p^1 \triangleright m)[(x_{(2,1)}^1 p_1^2 g^1 S(x^3) \cdot a')(x_{(2,2)}^1 p_2^2 g^2 S(x^2) \cdot a)]\\
&\overset{(9.11)}{=} x^1 \triangleright [(p^1 \triangleright m)[(p_1^2 g^1 S(x^3) \cdot a')(p_2^2 g^2 S(x^2) \cdot a)]]\\
&= x^1 \triangleright [((g^1 S(x^3) \cdot a')(g^2 S(x^2) \cdot a)) \rightarrow m], \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (9.13), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 \triangleright [(S(h_2) \cdot a) \rightarrow m] &= h_1 \triangleright [(p^1 \triangleright m)(p^2 S(h_2) \cdot a)]\\
&\overset{(9.11)}{=} (h_{(1,1)} p^1 \triangleright m)(h_{(1,2)} p^2 S(h_2) \cdot a)\\
&\overset{(2.35)}{=} (p^1 h \triangleright m)(p^2 \cdot a)\\
&= a \rightarrow (h \triangleright m), \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously $1_A \rightarrow m = m$, for all $m \in M$, hence indeed $M \in {}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$.

In order to prove that $\mathcal{M}_A^{H^*} \simeq {}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$, the only things left to prove are the following:

1. If $M \in {}_{H\#\overline{A}}\mathcal{M}$, then $a \rightarrow m = a \star m$, for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$;
2. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^{H^*}$, then $m \cdot a = ma$, for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$.

To prove (1), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
a \rightarrow m &= (p^1 \triangleright m) \cdot (p^2 \cdot a)\\
&= q^1 \triangleright [(S(q^2) p^2 \cdot a) \star (p^1 \triangleright m)]\\
&\overset{(9.9)}{=} q^1 p_1^1 \triangleright [(S(p_2^1) S(q^2) p^2 \cdot a) \star m]\\
&\overset{(2.38)}{=} a \star m, \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (2), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
m \cdot a &= q^1 \triangleright [(S(q^2) \cdot a) \rightarrow m]\\
&= q^1 \triangleright [(p^1 \triangleright m)(p^2 S(q^2) \cdot a)]\\
&\overset{(9.11)}{=} (q_1^1 p^1 \triangleright m)(q_2^1 p^2 S(q^2) \cdot a)\\
&\overset{(2.37)}{=} ma,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is finished. □

We will need the description of left modules over a two-sided smash product.

Definition 9.5. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, A a left H-module algebra and B a right H-module algebra. Define the category ${}_{A,H,B}\mathcal{M}$ as follows: an object in this category is a left H-module M, with action denoted by $h\otimes m \mapsto h\triangleright m$, and we have left weak actions of A and B on M, denoted by $a\otimes m\mapsto a\cdot m$ and $b\otimes m\mapsto b\cdot m$, such that:

(i) $M\in {}_{A\#H}\mathcal{M}$, that is the relations (9.3) and (9.4) hold;
(ii) $M\in {}_{H\#B}\mathcal{M}$, that is the relations (9.6) and (9.7) hold;
(iii) the following compatibility condition holds:

$$b\cdot(a\cdot m)=(y^1\cdot a)\cdot[y^2\triangleright((b\cdot y^3)\cdot m)], \tag{9.14}$$

for all $a\in A$, $b\in B$, $m\in M$. The morphisms in this category are the H-linear maps compatible with the two weak actions.

Proposition 9.6. *If H, A, B are as above, then ${}_{A\#H\#B}\mathcal{M}\simeq {}_{A,H,B}\mathcal{M}$, the isomorphism being given as follows:*

- *If $M\in {}_{A\#H\#B}\mathcal{M}$, define $a\cdot m=(a\#1\#1)\cdot m$, $h\triangleright m=(1\#h\#1)\cdot m$, $b\cdot m=(1\#1\#b)\cdot m$.*
- *Conversely, if $M\in {}_{A,H,B}\mathcal{M}$, define $(a\#h\#b)\cdot m=a\cdot(h\triangleright(b\cdot m))$.*

Proof. Straightforward computation, using the formula for the multiplication in $A\#H\#B$. Let us point out how the condition (9.14) occurs:

$$\begin{aligned}
b\cdot(a\cdot m) &= (1\#1\#b)\cdot((a\#1\#1)\cdot m)\\
&= [(1\#1\#b)(a\#1\#1)]\cdot m\\
&= (y^1\cdot a\#y^2\#b\cdot y^3)\cdot m\\
&= (y^1\cdot a)\cdot(y^2\triangleright((b\cdot y^3)\cdot m)),
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (9.14). □

Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-bialgebra and A, D two left H-module algebras. It is obvious that ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{H^*}$ coincides with the category of left A-modules within the monoidal category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$, and similarly $\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$ coincides with the category of right D-modules within ${}_H\mathcal{M}$. Hence, we can introduce the following new category:

Definition 9.7. If H, A, D are as above, define ${}_A\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$ as the category of $A-D$-bimodules within the monoidal category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$, that is $M\in {}_A\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$ if and only if $M\in {}_A\mathcal{M}^{H^*}$, $M\in \mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$ and the following relation holds:

$$(a\cdot m)\cdot d=(X^1\cdot a)\cdot[(X^2\triangleright m)\cdot(X^3\cdot d)], \tag{9.15}$$

for all $a\in A$, $m\in M$, $d\in D$, where $a\otimes m\mapsto a\cdot m$ and $m\otimes d\mapsto m\cdot d$ are the weak actions.

Proposition 9.8. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and A, D two left H-module algebras. Then we have an isomorphism of categories ${}_A\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}\simeq {}_{A\#H\#\overline{D}}\mathcal{M}$, where $\overline{D}$ is the right H-module algebra as in Proposition 9.1.*

Proof. Since ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{H^*}\simeq {}_{A\#H}\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}\simeq {}_{H\#\overline{D}}\mathcal{M}$, the only thing left to prove is that the compatibility (9.14) in ${}_{A,H,\overline{D}}\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to the compatibility (9.15) in ${}_A\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$. Let us first note the following easy consequences of (2.3), (2.6):

$$X^1p^1_1\otimes X^2p^1_2\otimes X^3p^2=y^1\otimes y^2_1p^1\otimes y^2_2p^2S(y^3), \tag{9.16}$$

$$q^1_1y^1\otimes q^1_2y^2\otimes S(q^2y^3)=X^1\otimes q^1X^2_1\otimes S(q^2X^2_2)X^3, \tag{9.17}$$

where $p_R=p^1\otimes p^2$ and $q_R=q^1\otimes q^2$ are the elements given by (2.34) for $\mathfrak{A}=H$.

Let now $M\in {}_A\mathcal{M}_D^{H^*}$, with right D-action on M denoted by $m\otimes d\mapsto m\cdot d$. Then, by Proposition 9.4, the weak left $\overline{D}$-action on M is given by $d\rightarrow m=(p^1\triangleright m)\cdot(p^2\cdot d)$. We check (9.14); we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\rightarrow(a\cdot m) &= (p^1\triangleright(a\cdot m))\cdot(p^2\cdot d)\\
&\overset{(9.4)}{=} [(p^1_1\cdot a)\cdot(p^1_2\triangleright m)]\cdot(p^2\cdot d)\\
&\overset{(9.15)}{=} (X^1p^1_1\cdot a)\cdot[(X^2p^1_2\triangleright m)\cdot(X^3p^2\cdot d)]\\
&\overset{(9.16)}{=} (y^1\cdot a)\cdot[(y^2_1p^1\triangleright m)\cdot(y^2_2p^2S(y^3)\cdot d)]\\
&\overset{(9.2)}{=} (y^1\cdot a)\cdot[y^2\triangleright((p^1\triangleright m)\cdot(p^2S(y^3)\cdot d))]\\
&= (y^1\cdot a)\cdot[y^2\triangleright((S(y^3)\cdot d)\rightarrow m)]\\
&= (y^1\cdot a)\cdot[y^2\triangleright((d\cdot y^3)\rightarrow m)],\ \ \textit{q.e.d.}
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $M\in {}_{A\#H\#\overline{D}}\mathcal{M}$, and denote the actions of A, H, $\overline{D}$ on M by $a\cdot m$, $h\triangleright m$, $d\cdot m$ respectively. Then, by Proposition 9.4, the right D-action on M is given by $m\cdot d=q^1\triangleright((S(q^2)\cdot d)\cdot m)$. To check (9.15), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a\cdot m)\cdot d &= q^1\triangleright[(S(q^2)\cdot d)\cdot(a\cdot m)]\\
&\overset{(9.14)}{=} q^1\triangleright[(y^1\cdot a)\cdot(y^2\triangleright((S(q^2)\cdot d\cdot y^3)\cdot m))]\\
&= q^1\triangleright[(y^1\cdot a)\cdot(y^2\triangleright((S(q^2y^3)\cdot d)\cdot m))]\\
&\overset{(9.4)}{=} (q^1_1y^1\cdot a)\cdot[q^1_2y^2\triangleright((S(q^2y^3)\cdot d)\cdot m)]\\
&\overset{(9.17)}{=} (X^1\cdot a)\cdot[q^1X^2_1\triangleright((S(q^2X^2_2)X^3\cdot d)\cdot m)]\\
&= (X^1\cdot a)\cdot[q^1X^2_1\triangleright((S(q^2)X^3\cdot d\cdot X^2_2)\cdot m)]\\
&\overset{(9.7)}{=} (X^1\cdot a)\cdot[q^1\triangleright((S(q^2)X^3\cdot d)\cdot(X^2\triangleright m))]\\
&= (X^1\cdot a)\cdot[(X^2\triangleright m)\cdot(X^3\cdot d)],\ \ \textit{q.e.d.}
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is finished. □

Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-bialgebra and $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}$ two H-bimodule algebras. Define the category ${}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{D}}$ as the category of $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{D}$-bimodules within the monoidal category ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$. By regarding $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ as left module algebras over $H\otimes H^{op}$, it is easy to see that ${}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{D}}\cong {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^{(H\otimes H^{op})^*}$. Hence, as a consequence of Proposition 9.8, we finally obtain:

Theorem 9.9. *If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{D}$ are two H-bimodule algebras, then we have an isomorphism of categories ${}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{\mathcal{D}}\simeq {}_{\mathcal{A}\#(H\otimes H^{op})\#\overline{\mathcal{D}}}\mathcal{M}$. In particular, we have ${}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{M}^{H^*}_{H^*}\simeq {}_{H^*\#(H\otimes H^{op})\#\overline{H^*}}\mathcal{M}$.*

10. Yetter-Drinfeld modules as modules over a generalized diagonal crossed product

If H is a quasi-bialgebra, then the category of (H,H)-bimodules, ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$, is monoidal. The associativity constraints are given by (2.48). A coalgebra in the category of (H,H)-bimodules will be called an H-bimodule coalgebra. More precisely, an H-bimodule coalgebra C is an (H,H)-bimodule (denote the actions by $h\cdot c$ and $c\cdot h$) with a comultiplication $\underline{\Delta}:\ C\to C\otimes C$ and a counit $\underline{\varepsilon}:\ C\to k$ satisfying the following relations, for all $c\in C$ and $h\in H$:

$$\Phi\cdot(\underline{\Delta}\otimes id)(\underline{\Delta}(c))\cdot\Phi^{-1}=(id\otimes\underline{\Delta})(\underline{\Delta}(c)),\tag{10.1}$$

$$\underline{\Delta}(h\cdot c)=h_1\cdot c_{\underline{1}}\otimes h_2\cdot c_{\underline{2}},\ \underline{\Delta}(c\cdot h)=c_{\underline{1}}\cdot h_1\otimes c_{\underline{2}}\cdot h_2,\tag{10.2}$$

$$\underline{\varepsilon}(h\cdot c)=\varepsilon(h)\underline{\varepsilon}(c),\ \underline{\varepsilon}(c\cdot h)=\underline{\varepsilon}(c)\varepsilon(h),\tag{10.3}$$

where we used the Sweedler-type notation $\underline{\Delta}(c)=c_{\underline{1}}\otimes c_{\underline{2}}$. An example of an H-bimodule coalgebra is H itself.

Our next definition extends the definition of Yetter-Drinfeld modules from [10].

Definition 10.1. Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, C an H-bimodule coalgebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra. A left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module is a k-vector space M with the following additional structure:

- M is a left $\mathbb{A}$-module; we write $\cdot$ for the left $\mathbb{A}$-action;
- we have a k-linear map $\rho_M:M\to M\otimes C$, $\rho_M(m)=m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)}$, called the right C-coaction on M, such that for all $m\in M$, $\underline{\varepsilon}(m_{(1)})m_{(0)}=m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\theta^2\cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)}\otimes(\theta^2\cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)}\cdot\theta^1\otimes\theta^3\cdot m_{(1)}\\&\quad=\tilde{x}^1_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(0)}\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{1}}}\cdot\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{2}}}\cdot\tilde{x}^2_\lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{10.4}$$

- the following compatibility relation holds:

$$u_{\langle 0\rangle}\cdot m_{(0)}\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}\cdot m_{(1)}=(u_{[0]}\cdot m)_{(0)}\otimes(u_{[0]}\cdot m)_{(1)}\cdot u_{[-1]},\tag{10.5}$$

for all $u\in\mathbb{A}$, $m\in M$. ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$ will be the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules and maps preserving the actions by $\mathbb{A}$ and the coactions by C.

Let H be a quasi-bialgebra, $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra and C an H-bimodule coalgebra. Let us call the threetuple $(H,\mathbb{A},C)$ a *Yetter-Drinfeld datum*. We note that, for an arbitrary H-bimodule coalgebra C, the linear dual space of C, C^*, is an H-bimodule algebra. The multiplication of C^* is the convolution, that is $(c^*d^*)(c)=c^*(c_{\underline{1}})d^*(c_{\underline{2}})$, the unit is $\underline{\varepsilon}$ and the left and right H-module structures are given by $(h\rightharpoonup c^*\leftharpoonup h')(c)=c^*(h'\cdot c\cdot h)$, for all $h,h'\in H$, $c^*,d^*\in C^*$, $c\in C$.

In the rest of this section we establish that if H is a quasi-Hopf algebra and C is finite dimensional then the category ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$ is isomorphic to the category of left $C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}$-modules, ${}_{C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$. First some lemmas.

Lemma 10.2. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $(H,\mathbb{A},C)$ a Yetter-Drinfeld datum. We have a functor $F:{}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C\to{}_{C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$, given by F(M)=M as k-module, with the $C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}$-module structure defined by*

$$(c^*\bowtie u)m:=\langle c^*,\tilde{q}^2_\rho\cdot(u\cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle\tilde{q}^1_\rho\cdot(u\cdot m)_{(0)},\tag{10.6}$$

for all $c^*\in C^*$, $u\in\mathbb{A}$ and $m\in M$, where $\tilde{q}_\rho=\tilde{q}^1_\rho\otimes\tilde{q}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34). F transforms a morphism to itself.

Proof. Let $\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{Q}^2_\rho$ be another copy of $\tilde{q}_\rho$. For all $c^*, d^* \in C^*$, $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $m \in M$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(c^* \bowtie u)(d^* \bowtie u')]m\\
\overset{(3.21)}{=}\quad & [(\Omega^1 \rightharpoonup c^* \leftharpoonup \Omega^5)(\Omega^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}} \rightharpoonup d^* \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4) \bowtie \Omega^3 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u']m\\
=\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(u_{\langle 1\rangle})\Omega^4(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_2 \cdot (\Omega^3 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{2}}} \cdot \Omega^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rangle\\
& \langle c^*, \Omega^5(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_1 \cdot (\Omega^3 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{1}}} \cdot \Omega^1\rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot (\Omega^3 u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(0)}\\
\overset{(3.15)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(f^1 \tilde{X}^2_\rho \theta^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle})(\tilde{q}^2_\rho)_2 \cdot ((\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{2}}} \cdot (\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_{\underline{2}}}\\
& \times \tilde{x}^2_\lambda \theta^2_{[-1]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rangle \langle c^*, S^{-1}(f^2 \tilde{X}^3_\rho)(\tilde{q}_\rho)_1 \cdot ((\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{1}}}\\
& \cdot \tilde{x}^1_\lambda \theta^1\rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(0)}\\
\overset{(10.5,2.40)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(\theta^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle})\tilde{Q}^2_\rho \tilde{x}^3_\rho \cdot (\tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{2}}} \cdot \tilde{x}^2_\lambda \theta^2_{[-1]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rangle\\
& \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} \tilde{x}^2_\rho \cdot (\tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{1}}} \cdot \tilde{x}^1_\lambda \theta^1\rangle\\
& \tilde{q}^1_\rho (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{x}^1_\rho \cdot (\tilde{x}^3_\lambda \theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(0)}\\
\overset{(10.4)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(\theta^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle})\tilde{Q}^2_\rho \overline{\theta}^3 \cdot (\theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(1)} \cdot \theta^2_{[-1]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rangle\\
& \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle} \cdot [\overline{\theta}^2 \cdot (\theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(1)} \cdot \overline{\theta}^1 \theta^1\rangle\\
& \tilde{q}^1_\rho (\tilde{Q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle} \cdot [\overline{\theta}^2 \cdot (\theta^2_{[0]} u_{\langle 0\rangle_{[0]}} u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(0)}\\
\overset{(10.5,2.45)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(\alpha \tilde{X}^3_\rho \theta^3 u_{\langle 1\rangle})\tilde{X}^2_\rho \overline{\theta}^3 \theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle} u_{\langle 0,1\rangle} \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle\\
& \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot [(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \overline{\theta}^2 \theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle} u_{\langle 0,0\rangle} \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(1)} \cdot (\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \overline{\theta}^1 \theta^1\rangle\\
& \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot [(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \overline{\theta}^2 \theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle} u_{\langle 0,0\rangle} \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(0)}\\
\overset{(2.45,2.26)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, S^{-1}(\alpha \theta^3_2 u_{\langle 1\rangle_2} \tilde{X}^3_\rho)\theta^3_1 u_{\langle 1\rangle_1} \tilde{X}^2_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle\\
& \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot [\theta^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{X}^1_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(1)} \cdot \theta^1\rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot [\theta^2 u_{\langle 0\rangle} \tilde{X}^1_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(0)}\\
\overset{(2.6,2.34)}{=}\quad & \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot [u\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(1)}\rangle \langle d^*, \tilde{Q}^2_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle\\
& \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot [u\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}]_{(0)}\\
\overset{(10.6)}{=}\quad & \langle d^*, \tilde{Q}^2_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle (c^* \bowtie u)[\tilde{Q}^1_\rho \cdot (u' \cdot m)_{(0)}] = (c^* \bowtie u)[(d^* \bowtie u')m],
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. It is not hard to see that $(\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}})m = m$ for all $m \in M$, so M is a left $C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$-module. The fact that a morphism in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$ becomes a morphism in ${}_{C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$ can be proved more easily, we leave the details to the reader. $\square$

Lemma 10.3. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $(H, \mathbb{A}, C)$ a Yetter-Drinfeld datum and assume C is finite dimensional. We have a functor $G : {}_{C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M} \to {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$, given by $G(M) = M$ as k-module, with structure maps defined by*

$$u \cdot m = (\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie u)m, \tag{10.7}$$

$$\rho_M : M \to M \otimes C, \;\; \rho_M(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c^i \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}, \tag{10.8}$$

for $m \in M$ and $u \in \mathbb{A}$. Here $\tilde{p}_\rho = \tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{p}^2_\rho$ is the element defined in (2.34), $\{c_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ is a basis of C and $\{c^i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ is the corresponding dual basis of C^*. G transforms a morphism to itself.

Proof. The most difficult part of the proof is to show that $G(M)$ satisfies the relations (10.4) and (10.5). It is then straightforward to show that a map in ${}_{C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$ is also a map in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$, and that G is a functor.

It is not hard to see that (2.45), (2.6) and (2.46) imply

$$\overline{\theta}^1\theta^1 \otimes \overline{\theta}^2\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \overline{\theta}^3\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho S(\theta^3) = (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \otimes (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \otimes \tilde{p}^2_\rho. \tag{10.9}$$

Write $\tilde{p}_\rho = \tilde{p}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{p}^2_\rho = \tilde{P}^1_\rho \otimes \tilde{P}^2_\rho$. For all $m \in M$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\theta^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes (\theta^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)} \cdot \theta^1 \otimes \theta^3 \cdot m_{(1)}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}((\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie \theta^2)(c^i \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m)_{(0)} \otimes ((\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie \theta^2)(c^i \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m)_{(1)} \cdot \theta^1\\
&\qquad \otimes\theta^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
&\overset{(3.21,10.8)}{=} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(c^j \bowtie (\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[0]})(c^i \bowtie (\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{P}^2_\rho) \cdot c_j \cdot (\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\theta^1\\
&\qquad \otimes\theta^3 S^{-1}(\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
&\overset{(3.21,3.15)}{=} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}[c^j c^i \bowtie (\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda(\overline{\theta}^2(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}]m \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho\tilde{P}^2_\rho)\\
&\qquad \cdot c_j \cdot (\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_1}\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\overline{\theta}^1(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\theta^1 \otimes \theta^3 S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho\overline{\theta}^3(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[0]_{\langle 1\rangle}}\theta^2_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i\\
&\qquad \cdot(\tilde{X}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_2}\tilde{x}^2_\lambda(\overline{\theta}^2(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{[0]_{\langle 0\rangle}}\theta^2_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
&\overset{(2.43,10.9,2.30)}{=} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}[c^j c^i \bowtie (\tilde{X}^1_\rho(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda]m \otimes S^{-1}(f^2\tilde{X}^3_\rho\tilde{P}^2_\rho) \cdot c_j
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot(\tilde{X}^1_\rho(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_1}\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes S^{-1}(f^1\tilde{X}^2_\rho(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{X}^1_\rho(\tilde{P}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_2}\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\\
\stackrel{(2.39)}{=}\ &\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}[c^jc^i\bowtie((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda]m\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho S^{-1}(f^2((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)_2g^2)\cdot c_j\\
&\cdot((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_1}\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho S^{-1}(f^1((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)_1g^1)\cdot c_i\cdot((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]_2}\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\\
\stackrel{(2.11,10.2)}{=}\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}[c^i\bowtie((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda]m\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\\
&\cdot((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{1}}\cdot\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{2}}\cdot\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\\
=\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}[(\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rightharpoonup c^i\leftharpoonup S^{-1}((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle})\bowtie((\tilde{x}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]}\tilde{x}^3_\lambda]m\\
&\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{1}}\cdot\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{2}}\cdot\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\\
\stackrel{(3.21)}{=}\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}[(\underline{\varepsilon}\bowtie\tilde{x}^1_\rho)(c^i\bowtie(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})(\underline{\varepsilon}\bowtie\tilde{x}^3_\lambda)]m\\
&\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{1}}\cdot\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho\cdot(S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]})_{\underline{2}}\cdot\tilde{x}^2_\lambda\\
\stackrel{(10.7,10.8)}{=}\ &\theta^1_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(0)}\otimes\tilde{x}^2_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{1}}}\cdot\tilde{x}^1_\lambda\otimes\tilde{x}^3_\rho\cdot(\tilde{x}^3_\lambda\cdot m)_{(1)_{\underline{2}}}\cdot\tilde{x}^2_\lambda.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_{\langle 0\rangle}\cdot m_{(0)}\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}\cdot m_{(1)}\\
=\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\underline{\varepsilon}\bowtie u_{\langle 0\rangle})(c^i\bowtie(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
\stackrel{(3.21)}{=}\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(u_{\langle 0,0\rangle_{[-1]}}\rightharpoonup c^i\leftharpoonup S^{-1}(u_{\langle 0,1\rangle})\bowtie u_{\langle 0,0\rangle_{[0]}}(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m\\
&\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
=\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(c^i\bowtie(u_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m\otimes u_{\langle 1\rangle}S^{-1}(u_{\langle 0,1\rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(u_{\langle 0,0\rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
\stackrel{(2.35)}{=}\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(c^i\bowtie(\tilde{p}^1_\rho u)_{[0]})m\otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho u)_{[-1]}\\
\stackrel{(3.21)}{=}\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(c^i\bowtie(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})(\underline{\varepsilon}\bowtie u_{[0]})m\otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\cdot c_i\cdot(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}u_{[-1]}\\
\stackrel{(10.8)}{=}\ &(u_{[0]}\cdot m)_{(0)}\otimes(u_{[0]}\cdot m)_{(1)}\cdot u_{[-1]},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u\in\mathbb{A}$ and $m\in M$, and this finishes the proof. □

The next result generalizes [8, Proposition 3.12], which is recovered by taking $C=\mathbb{A}=H$.

Theorem 10.4. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra and $(H,\mathbb{A},C)$ a Yetter-Drinfeld datum, assuming C to be finite dimensional. Then the categories ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$ and ${}_{C^*\bowtie\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We have to verify that the functors F and G defined in Lemmas 10.2 and 10.3 are inverse to each other. Let $M\in{}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$. The structures on $G(F(M))$

(using first Lemma 10.2 and then Lemma 10.3) are denoted by $\cdot'$ and ${\rho'}_M$. For any $u \in \mathbb{A}$ and $m \in M$ we have that

$$u \cdot' m = (\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie u)m = \langle \underline{\varepsilon}, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot (u \cdot m)_{(1)} \rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot (u \cdot m)_{(0)} = u \cdot m$$

because $\underline{\varepsilon}(h \cdot c) = \varepsilon(h)\underline{\varepsilon}(c)$ and $\underline{\varepsilon}(m_{(1)})m_{(0)} = m$ for all $h \in H$, $c \in C$, $m \in M$. We now compute for $m \in M$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&{\rho'}_M(m)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (c^i \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
&\overset{(10.6)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle c^i, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(1)} \rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]}\\
&\overset{(10.5)}{=} \tilde{q}^1_\rho (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0 \rangle} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\tilde{q}^2_\rho (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1 \rangle} \cdot m_{(1)}\\
&\overset{(2.38)}{=} m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} = \rho_M(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, take $M \in {}_{C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}$. We want to show that $F(G(M)) = M$. If we denote the left $C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$-action on $F(G(M))$ by $\mapsto$, then, using Lemmas 10.2 and 10.3 we find, for all $c^* \in C^*$, $u \in \mathbb{A}$ and $m \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c^* \bowtie u) \mapsto m\\
&= \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot (u \cdot m)_{(1)} \rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot (u \cdot m)_{(0)}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \rangle (\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie \tilde{q}^1_\rho)(c^i \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})(\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie u)m\\
&\overset{(3.21)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle c^*, \tilde{q}^2_\rho S^{-1}((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1 \rangle}\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \cdot c_i \cdot ((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0 \rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \rangle\\
&\qquad (c^i \bowtie ((\tilde{q}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0 \rangle}\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})(\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie u)m\\
&\overset{(2.37,3.21)}{=} (c^* \bowtie 1_{\mathbb{A}})(\underline{\varepsilon} \bowtie u)m = (c^* \bowtie u)m,
\end{aligned}$$

and this finishes our proof. □

There is a relation between the functor F from Lemma 10.2 and the map Γ as in Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 10.5. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra, $(H, \mathbb{A}, C)$ a Yetter-Drinfeld datum and M an object in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{YD}(H)^C$; consider the map $\Gamma : C^* \to C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$ as in Proposition 3.8. Then the left $C^* \bowtie \mathbb{A}$-module structure on M given in Lemma 10.2 and the map Γ are related by the formula:*

$$\Gamma(c^*)m = \langle c^*, m_{(1)} \rangle m_{(0)},$$

for all $c^* \in C^*$ and $m \in M$.

Proof. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(c^*)m &= ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup c^* \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho) \bowtie (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]})m\\
&= \langle (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \rightharpoonup c^* \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho), \tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(1)} \rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(0)}\\
&= \langle c^*, S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\tilde{q}^2_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(1)} \cdot (\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[-1]} \rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho \cdot ((\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{[0]} \cdot m)_{(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(10.5)}{=} \langle c^*, S^{-1}(\tilde{p}^2_\rho)\tilde{q}^2_\rho(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 1\rangle}\cdot m_{(1)}\rangle \tilde{q}^1_\rho(\tilde{p}^1_\rho)_{\langle 0\rangle}\cdot m_{(0)}\\
&\overset{(2.38)}{=} \langle c^*, m_{(1)}\rangle m_{(0)},
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof. □

References

[1] H. Albuquerque and S. Majid, Quasialgebra structure of the octonions, *J. Algebra* **220** (1999), 188–224.
[2] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, Quasi-Hopf algebra actions and smash products, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 631–651.
[3] D. Bulacu and E. Nauwelaerts, Relative Hopf modules for (dual) quasi-Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **229** (2000), 632–659.
[4] D. Bulacu and S. Caenepeel, Two-sided two-cosided Hopf modules and Doi-Hopf modules for quasi-Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 55–95.
[5] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, Crossed modules and Doi-Hopf modules, *Israel J. Math.* **100** (1997), 221–247.
[6] V. G. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 1419–1457.
[7] F. Hausser and F. Nill, Diagonal crossed products by duals of quasi-quantum groups, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 553–629.
[8] F. Hausser and F. Nill, Doubles of quasi-quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1999), 547–589.
[9] C. Kassel, "Quantum Groups", *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
[10] S. Majid, Quantum double for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998), 1–9.
[11] S. Majid, "Foundations of quantum group theory", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
[12] F. Panaite, Hopf bimodules are modules over a diagonal crossed product algebra, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 4049–4058.
[13] P. Schauenburg, Hopf modules and the double of a quasi-Hopf algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 3349–3378.
[14] P. Schauenburg, Actions of monoidal categories and generalized Hopf smash products, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 521-563.
[15] M. E. Sweedler, "Hopf algebras", Benjamin, New York, 1969.
[16] S.-H. Wang and J. Li, On twisted smash products for bimodule algebras and the Drinfeld double, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 2435–2444.
[17] S.-H. Wang, Doi-Koppinen Hopf bimodules are modules, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), 4671–4682.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, RO-70109, Bucharest 1, Romania
E-mail address: `dbulacu@al.math.unibuc.ro`

Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy, PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail address: `Florin.Panaite@imar.ro`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antwerp, Middelheimlaan 1, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium
E-mail address: `Francine.Schoeters@ua.ac.be`